



# भारत का राजपत्र The Gazette of India

प्राधिकार से प्रकाशन  
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

सं० 18]

नई दिल्ली, शनिवार, मई 3, 1986/वैशाख 13, 1908

No. 18]

NEW DELHI, SATURDAY, MAY 3, 1986/VAISAKHA 13, 1908

इस भाग में भिन्न पृष्ठ संख्या दी जाती है जिससे कि यह अलग संकलन के रूप में  
रखा जा सके

Separate Faging is given to this Part in order that it may be filed as a  
separate compilation

## भाग II—खण्ड 3—उप-खण्ड (ii) PART II—Section 3—Sub-section (ii)

(रक्षा मंत्रालय को छोड़ कर) भारत सरकार के मंत्रालयों द्वारा जारी किए गए सार्विकधिक आदेश और अधिसूचनाएं  
statutory orders and Notifications issued by the Ministries of the Government of India (other than the Ministry of Defence)

कार्रवाई और लोक शिक्षा तथा पेंशन मंत्रालय  
(पेंशन और पेंशनसमीक्षा कल्याण विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 18 अप्रैल, 1986

का. भा. 1823—गणपति, भारत के संविधान के अनुच्छेद 309 के परामर्श और अनुच्छेद 148 के खंड (5) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, भारतीय लेखा परीक्षा और लेखा विभाग में मेधावत व्यक्तियों के संबंध में भारत के नियंत्रक महालेखा परीक्षक से परामर्श करने के पश्चात्, अभिव्यक्ति भविष्य निधि नियम (भारत), 1962 में और संगोष्ठन करने के लिए निम्नलिखित नियम बनाते हैं, अर्थात् :

1. (1) इन नियमों का नाम अभिव्यक्ति भविष्य निधि (संगोष्ठन) नियम, 1986 है ।

(2) ये राजपत्र में प्रकाशन की तारीख की प्रवृत्त होंगे ।

2. अभिव्यक्ति भविष्य निधि नियम (भारत), 1962 के नियम 16 के उपनियम (1) के खंड (ख) में "15 वर्ष" शब्दों और शब्द के स्थान पर "दस वर्ष" शब्द रखे जाएंगे ।

[संख्या 13(4)-पेंशन/84(सी. पी. एफ.)]

हजारा सिंह, उप सचिव,  
भारत सरकार

टिप्पण: अभिव्यक्ति भविष्य निधि नियम (भारत), 1962 (31-3-1973 तक शुद्धिकृत) 1973 में प्रकाशित हुए थे । तत्पश्चात् नियमों का संगोष्ठन निम्नलिखित अधिसूचनाओं द्वारा किया गया :

1. 16(2) ई.वी./73 तारीख 18-9-1973
2. एफ. 32(3)-ई.वी./67 सी. पी. एफ. तारीख 26-10-1973
3. एफ. 32(3) ई.वी./67 सी. पी. एफ. तारीख 22-12-1973
4. एफ. 2(2) ई.वी. (बी)/71 तारीख 29-5-1974
5. एफ. 13(1) ई.वी. (बी)/73 सी. पी. एफ. तारीख 28-6-1974
6. एफ. 13(3) ई.वी. (बी)/74 सी. पी. एफ. तारीख 5-10-1974
7. एफ. 16(2) ई.वी. (बी)/72 तारीख 9-10-1974
8. एफ. 13(4) ई.वी. (बी)/74 तारीख 10-10-1974
9. एफ. 2(62) (1) ई.वी. (बी)/71 सी. पी. एफ. तारीख 14-10-1974
10. एफ. 24017/1/75 ई.वी. (बी) तारीख 28-2-1975
11. एफ. 13(3) ई.वी. (बी)/75 तारीख 28-4-1975
12. एफ. 2(62) (i) ई.वी. (बी)/71 तारीख 18-7-1975
13. एफ. 13(4) ई.वी. (बी)/75 तारीख 28-10-1975
14. एफ. 10(8) ई.वी. (बी)/75 तारीख 12-1-1976
15. एफ. 13(i) ई.वी. (बी)/76 तारीख 27-1-1976
16. एफ. 13(5) ई.वी. (बी)/75 तारीख 15-5-1976
17. एफ. 13(6) ई.वी. (बी), 76 तारीख 30-6-1976

18. एफ. 13 (7) ई बी (बी)/76 तारीख 26-7-1976
19. एफ. 13(3) ई बी (बी)/76 सी. पी. एफ. तारीख 17-11-1926
20. एफ. 13(8) ई बी (बी)/76 सी. पी. एफ. तारीख 10-12-1976
21. एफ. 16(4) ई बी (बी)/76 सी. पी. एफ. तारीख 17-12-1976
22. एफ. 10(8) ई बी (बी)/76 सी. पी. एफ. तारीख 19-2-1977
23. एफ. 13(9) ई बी (बी)/76 सी. पी. एफ. तारीख 25-2-1977
24. एफ. 13(ii) ई बी (बी)/76 सी. पी. एफ. तारीख 28-4-1977
25. एफ. 13(10) ई बी (बी)/76 सी. पी. एफ. तारीख 5-9-1977
26. एफ. 13(4) ई बी (बी)/76 सी. पी. एफ. तारीख 18-10-1977
27. एफ. 13(10) ई बी (बी)/76 सी. पी. एफ. तारीख 21-1-1978
28. एफ. 13(7) ई बी (बी)/77 सी. पी. एफ. तारीख 23-1-1978
29. एफ. 20(25) ई बी (बी)/77 सी. पी. एफ. तारीख 13-3-1978
30. एफ. 13(5) ई बी (बी)/77 सी. पी. एफ. तारीख 30-3-1978
31. एफ. 13(7) ई बी (बी)/77 सी. पी. एफ. तारीख 22-4-1978
32. एफ. 13(ii) ई बी (बी)/78 सी. पी. एफ. तारीख 30-5-1979
33. एफ. 17(5) ई बी (बी)/78 सी. पी. एफ. तारीख 18-6-1979
34. एफ. 19(15) पेन/76 सी. पी. एफ. तारीख 9-8-1979
35. एफ. 9(2) ई बी (बी)/78 सी. पी. एफ. तारीख 13-11-1979
36. एफ. 10(10) पेन/79 सी. पी. एफ. तारीख 3-3-1980
37. एफ. 20(22) ई बी (बी)/पेन 79 सी. पी. एफ. तारीख 18-4-1980
38. एफ. 13(6) पेन/79 सी. पी. एफ. तारीख 18-4-1980
39. एफ. 16(2) पेन/79 सी. पी. एफ. तारीख 12-6-1980
40. एफ. 11(i) पेन/79 सी. पी. एफ. तारीख 1-10-1980
41. एफ. 16(3) पेन/79 सी. पी. एफ. तारीख 13-10-1980
42. एफ. 10(2) पेन/81 सी. पी. एफ. तारीख 21-12-1981
43. एफ. 13(3) पेन/82 सी. पी. एफ. तारीख 30-4-1983
44. एफ. 19(2) पेन/80 सी. पी. एफ. तारीख 10-5-1983
45. एफ. 16(3) पेन/79 सी. पी. एफ. 18-5-1983
46. एफ. 19(1) पेन/83 सी. पी. एफ. तारीख 20-5-1983
47. एफ. 20(10) पेन/81 सी. पी. एफ. तारीख 30-7-1983
48. एफ. 13(1) पेन/85 सी. पी. एफ. तारीख 19-6-1985

#### MINISTRY OF PERSONNEL, PUBLIC GRIEVANCES & PENSIONS

(Department of Pension and Pensioners' Welfare)

New Delhi, the 18th April, 1986

S.O. 1823.—In exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to article 309 and clause (5) of article 148 of the Constitution of India, the President, after consultation with the Comptroller and Auditor General of India in relation to persons serving in the Indian Audit and Accounts Department hereby makes the following rules further to amend the Contributory Provident Fund Rules (India), 1962, namely :—

1. (1) These rules may be called the Contributory Provident Fund (Amendment) Rules, 1986.

(2) They shall come into force on the date of their publication in the Official Gazette.

2. In the Contributory Provident Fund Rules (India), 1962, in rule 16, in sub-rule (i) in clause (B), for the words "fifteen years", the words "ten years" shall be substituted.

[No. 13(4)-Pen/84-(CPF)]  
HAZARA SINGH, Dy. Secy.

NOTE :—The Contributory Provident Fund Rules (India), 1962, (corrected upto 31-3-1973) were published in 1973. Rules were subsequently amended vide the Notification mentioned below :—

1. 16(2)-EV/73 dated 18-9-1973.
2. F. 32(3)-EV/67/CPF dated 26-10-1973

3. F. 32(3)-EV/67-CPF dated 22-12-1973
4. F. 2(2)-EV(B)/71 dated 29-5-1974
5. F. 13(1)-EV(B)/73-CPF dated 28-6-1974
6. F. 13(3)-EV(B)/74-CPF dated 5-10-1974
7. F. 16(2)-EV(B)/72 dated 9-10-1974
8. F. 13(4)-EV(E)/74 dated 10-10-1974
9. F. 2(62)(1)-EV(B)-71-CPF dated 14-10-1974
10. F. 24017/1/75-FV(B) dated 28-2-1975.
11. F. 13(3)-EV(B)/75 dated 28-4-1975
12. F. 2(62)(i)-EV(B)/71 dated 18-7-1975
13. F. 13(4)-EV(B)/71 dated 28-10-1975
14. F. 10(3)-EV(B)/75 dated 12-1-1976
15. F. 13(i)-EV(B)/76 dated 27-1-1976
16. F. 13(5)-EV(B)/75 dated 15-5-1976
17. F. 13(6)-EV(B)/76 dated 30-6-1976
18. F. 13(7)-EV(B)/76 dated 26-7-1976
19. F. 13(3)-EV(B)/76-CPF dated 17-11-1976
20. F. 13(8)-EV(B)/76-CPF dated 10-12-1976
21. F. 16(4)-EV(B)/75-CPF dated 17-12-1976
22. F. 10(8)-EV(B)/76-CPF dated 19-2-1977
23. F. 13(9)-EV(B)/76-CPF dated 25-2-1977
24. F. 13(ii)-EV(B)/76-CPF dated 28-4-1977
25. F. 13(10)-EV(B)/76-CPF dated 5-9-1977
26. F. 13(4)-EV(B)/76-CPF dated 18-10-1977
27. F. 13(10)-EV(B)/76-CPF dated 21-1-1978
28. F. 13(7)-EV(B)/77-CPF dated 23-1-1978
29. F. 20(25)-EV(B)/77-CPF dated 13-3-1978
30. F. 13(5)-EV(B)/77-CPF dated 30-3-1978
31. F. 13(7)-EV(B)/77-CPF dated 22-4-1978
32. F. 13(ii)-EV(B)/78-CPF dated 30-5-1979
33. F. 17(5)-EV(B)/78-CPF dated 18-6-1979
34. F. 19(15)-Pen/76-CPF dated 9-8-1979
35. F. 9(2)-EV(B)/78-CPF dated 13-11-1979
36. F. 10(10)-Pen/79-CPF dated 3-3-1980
37. F. 20(22)-EV(B)/Pen/79-CPF dated 18-4-1980
38. F. 13(6)-Pen/79-CPF dated 18-4-1980
39. F. 16(2)-Pen/79-CPF dated 12-6-1980
40. F. 11(i)-Pen/77-CPF dated 1-10-1980
41. F. 16(3)-Pen/79-CPF dated 13-10-1980
42. F. 10(2)-Pen/81-CPF dated 21-12-1981
43. F. 13(3)-Pen/82-CPF dated 30-4-1983
44. F. 19(2)-Pen/80-CPF dated 10-5-1983
45. F. 16(3)-Pen/79-CPF dated 18-5-1983
46. F. 19(1)-Pen/83-CPF dated 20-5-1983
47. F. 20(10)-Pen/81-CPF dated 30-7-1983
48. F. 13(1)-Pen/85-CPF dated 19-6-1985

#### गृह मंत्रालय आंतरिक सुरक्षा विभाग (पुनर्वास प्रभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 13 अप्रैल, 1986

का.आ. 1824—विस्थापित व्यक्ति (प्रतिकर तथा पुनर्वास) अधिनियम, 1954 (1954 का 44) की धारा 34 की उपधारा (2) द्वारा प्रवृत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, मैं इस विभाग का दिनांक 17 अप्रैल, 1986 की अधिसूचना संख्या-4(42)/83-एस.एस. 11(सी) द्वारा गृह विभाग विकास मंत्रालय के अंतर्गत भूमि तथा विकास कार्यालय में उप मुख्य बंदीबस्त आयुक्त के रूप में नियुक्त भूमि तथा विकास अधिकारी को मुख्य बंदीबस्त आयुक्त का निम्नलिखित शक्तियाँ सौंपता हूँ :—

(1) उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 23 के अधीन अपील सुनने की शक्तियाँ।

(2) उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 24 के अधीन पुनरक्षण सुनने की शक्तियाँ।

[सं. 4(42)/83-एस.एस. II (सी)]

जी. पी. एस. साहू, मुख्य बंदीबस्त आयुक्त

## MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS

(Department of Internal Security)

(Rehabilitation Division)

New Delhi, the 18th April, 1986

S.O. 1824.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of Section 34 of the Displaced Persons (Compensation and Rehabilitation) Act, 1954 (44 of 1954), I hereby delegate to the Land and Development Officer in the Land and Development Office under the Ministry of Urban Development appointed as Deputy Chief Settlement Commissioner, vide this Department's Notification No. 4(42)/83-SS. II(C), dated the 17th April, 1986, the following powers of the Chief Settlement Commissioner :—

- (i) Powers to hear appeals under Section 23 of the said Act.
- (ii) Powers to hear revisions under Section 24 of the said Act.

[No. 4(42)/83-SS. II(D)]

G. P. S. SAHI, Chief Settlement Commissioner

## बिना संज्ञा

(राजस्व विभाग)

प्रदेश

दिल्ली, 17 अप्रैल, 1986

स्टाम्प

का. प्रा. 1825.—भारतीय स्टाम्प अधिनियम 1899 (1899 का) की धारा 9 की उपधारा (1) के खंड (ख) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा भारतीय ऑक्सीजन लिमिटेड, कलकत्ता को केवल तीन लाख पचहत्तर हजार रुपये के उस समेकित स्टाम्प शुल्क की प्रदायगी करने की अनुमति देती है जो उक्त कम्पनी द्वारा जारी किए जाने वाले पांच करोड़ रुपये के समेकित मूल्य के "500 लाख रुपये के 15 % असम्पत्तिवर्तनीय ऋणपत्रों पर स्टाम्प शुल्क के कारण प्रभाव है।

[सं. 17/86-स्टाम्प-फा. सं. 33/18/86-वि. क.]

बी. भार. मेहमी, अवर सचिव

## MINISTRY OF FINANCE

(Department of Revenue)

ORDER

New Delhi, the 17th April, 1986

STAMPS

S.O. 1825.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (b) of sub-section (1) of the section 9 of the Indian Stamp Act, 1899 (2 of 1899), the Central Govt. hereby permits the Indian Oxygen Limited, Calcutta to pay consolidated stamp duty of Three lakhs and seventy five thousand rupees only, chargeable on account of the stamp duty on "15 per cent Non-convertible Debentures of Rs. 500 lakhs" of the face value of five crores rupees to be issued by the said company.

[No. 17/86-Stamps-F. No. 33/18/86-ST]

B. R. MEHMI, Under Secy.

केन्द्रीय उत्पाद-शुल्क और सीमाशुल्क बोर्ड

नई दिल्ली, 3 मई, 1986

सं० 305/86-सीमा-शुल्क

का०आ० 1826 :—केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क और सीमा शुल्क बोर्ड, सीमा-शुल्क अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 52) की

धारा 9 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, गुजरात राज्य के भड़ौच जिले में पनोली स्थित गुजरात औद्योगिक विकास निगम औद्योगिक एस्टेट को, शत प्रतिशत नियतिन्मुख एककों को स्थापित करने के प्रयोजनों के लिये भांडागार स्टेशन घोषित करती है।

[फा० सं० 473/669/85-सी० शु०-7]

संदीप जोशी, अवर सचिव

## CENTRAL BOARD OF EXCISE AND CUSTOMS

New Delhi, the 3rd May, 1986

NO. 305/86-CUSTOMS

S.O. 1826.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 9 of the Customs Act, 1962 (52 of 1962), the Central Board of Excise and Customs hereby declares G.I.D.C. Industrial Estate at Panoli in District Bharuch in the State of Gujarat to be a warehousing station for the purposes of setting up hundred per cent export-oriented units.

[F. No. 473/669/85-CUS. VII]

SANDEEP JOSHI, Under Secy.

आर्थिक कार्य विभाग

(वैकित प्रभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 14 अप्रैल, 1986

का.आ. 1827.—सरकार स्यान (उप-अधिकृत अधिकारियों) का वेदखन अधिनियम, 1971 (1971 का 40) की धारा 3 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्र सरकार, एतद्वारा निम्न सारणा के कालम (1) में उल्लिखित उन अधिकारियों को नियुक्त करती है जो सरकार के राजपत्रित अधिकारियों के स्तर के समक्ष अधिकारी होंगे और उक्त अधिनियम के प्रयोजन के लिये सारणा (एस्टेट आफसर) होंगे। ये अधिकार प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करेंगे तथा उक्त अधिनियम द्वारा या उसके अधिनियम उक्त सारणा के कालम (2) में उल्लिखित सरकार स्यानों के संबंध में सम्पदा अधिकारियों (एस्टेट आफसर) को सीधे गये फरसियों को पूरा करेंगे।

सारणा:

अधिकार का पद	सरकार स्यानों का श्रेणियां और अधिकार क्षेत्र का स्यानय सप्तम
(1)	(2)
उप महा प्रबंधक, विजया बैंक, मुख्य कार्यालय, लिमिटेड सक्षम 14, महाराष्ट्र गीत रोड, जवाहर-560001	विजया बैंक के अधिका उसके द्वारा अधिका उक्त और से पट्टे पर निवे गये और महाराष्ट्र राज्य को छोड़कर, भारत के किता भा भा में अवास्वत स्यान।
सहायक महा प्रबंधक, विजया बैंक, मण्डल कार्यालय, राजमहल, 6 मंजिल, 84, वार नर मन रोड, वर्माई-400000	विजया बैंक अधिका उक्त द्वारा अधिका उक्त और से पट्टे पर निवे गये और महाराष्ट्र राज्य में अवस्थित स्यान।

(संख्या 13/3/85-वै.ओ.-III)

एम.एस.सतारामन, अवर सचिव

(Department of Economic Affairs)

(Banking Division)

New Delhi, 14th April, 1986

S.O. 1827—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 3 of the Public Premises (Eviction of Unauthorised Occupants) Act, 1971 (40 of 1971), the Central Government hereby appoint the officers mentioned in column (1) of the Table below, being officers equivalent to the rank of gazetted officers of Government, to be Estate Officers for the purposes of the said Act, who shall exercise the powers conferred and perform the duties imposed on the estate officers by or under the said Act in respect of the public premises specified in column (2) of the said Table.

THE TABLE

Designation of the officer	Categories of public premises and local limits of jurisdiction.
(1)	(2)
Deputy General Manager, Vijaya Bank, Head Office, Trinity Circle, 14, Mahatma Gandhi Road, Bangalore-560001.	Premises belonging to or taken on lease by or on behalf of the Vijaya Bank anywhere in India other than the State of Maharashtra.
Assistant General Manager, Vijaya Bank, Divisional Office Rajmahal, 6th Floor, 84, Veer Nariman Road, Bombay-400020.	Premises belonging to or taken on lease by or on behalf of the Vijaya Bank in the State of Maharashtra.

[No. 13/3/85-BO. III]

M.S. SEETHARAMAN, Under Secy.

वाणिज्य मंत्रालय

(मुख्य निर्यातक, आयात एवं निर्यात का कार्यालय)

नई दिल्ली, 14 अप्रैल, 1986

आदेश

का. आ. 1828.—श्रीमती ग्रेसी जान पत्नी श्री समुयल जान, टी ई एस सी ओ, पी. आ. बाक्स 2407, शारजाह की लर्जेंडीज वेंज सेलूम 200 डी, 1984 मॉडल कार के आयात के लिए 96,300 रु. का सीमा शुल्क निकासी परमिट सं. पी./जे 3053603, दिनांक 11-2-86 दिया गया था। आवेदक ने उक्त सीमा शुल्क निकासी परमिट की अनुलिपि प्रति के लिए इस आधार पर आवेदन किया है कि मूल सीमा शुल्क निकासी परमिट खो गया/अस्थायित्व हो गया है। आवेदक यह भी बताया है कि मूल सीमा शुल्क निकासी परमिट किसी भी सीमा शुल्क प्राधिकारी के पास प्रजोक्त नहीं या प्रजोक्त कि सीमा शुल्क निकासी परमिट के मूल्य की विलकुल उपयोग में नहीं लाया गया था।

अने तर्क के समर्थन में लाइसेंस धारक ने उचित न्यायिक प्राधिकारी के सम्मुख विधिवत् शपथ लेकर एक शपथपत्र दाखिल किया है। तदनुसार मैं संतुष्ट हूँ कि मूल सीमा शुल्क निकासी परमिट सं. पी/जे/3053603, दिनांक 11-2-86 आवेदक से खो गया है। समय-समय पर यथा संशोधित आयात (नियंत्रण) आदेश, 1955 दिनांक 7-12-1955 के उप खंड 9 (सी सी) के अंतर्गत प्रदत्त अधिकारों का प्रयोग करते हुए श्रीमती ग्रेसी जान को जारी किया गया उक्त मूल सीमा शुल्क निकासी परमिट सं. पी/जे/3053603, दिनांक 11-2-86 एतद्वारा रद्द किया जाता है।

3. गीसा शुल्क निकासी परमिट की अनुलिपि प्रति पार्टी की अलग से जारी की जा रही है।

[फा. सं. ए/जे-49/85/86-बी. एल. एस. 100]

## MINISTRY OF COMMERCE

(Office of the Chief Controller of Imports &amp; Exports)

## ORDER

~New Delhi, the 14th April, 1986

S.O. 1828.—Mrs. Gracy John W/o. Mr. Samuel John, TESCO, P.O. Box 2407 Sharjah was granted a Customs Clearance Permit No. P/J/3053603 dt. 11-2-86 for Rs. 96,300 only for import of Mercedes Ben Saloom 200 D; 1984 Model car. The applicant has applied for issue of Duplicate copy of the above mentioned Customs Clearance Permit on the ground that the original CCP has been misplaced/lost. It has further been stated that the original CCP was not registered with any Customs authority and such the value of the CCP has not been utilised at all.

2. In support of her contention, the licensee has filed an affidavit duly sworn before appropriate judicial authority I am accordingly satisfied that the original CCP No. P/J/3053603 dated 11-2-86 has been lost by the applicant. In exercise of the powers conferred under Sub-Clause 9(cc) of the Import (Control) Order, 1955 dated 7-12-1955 as amended from time to time, the said original CCP No. P/J/3053603 dt. 11-2-86 issued to Mrs. Gracy John is hereby cancelled.

3. A duplicate copy of the Customs Clearance Permit is being issued to the party separately.

[F. No. A/J-49/85-86/BLS/100]

श्रीमती वासुमती महेन्द्र कुमार पटेल, पी. ओ. बाक्स 3853, शारजाह, यू.ई. को एक हान्डा एकोर्ड 1986 मॉडल कार का आयात करने के लिए रु. 99750/- मात्र का एक सीमाशुल्क निकासी परमिट सं. पी./जे/3053340 दिनांक 10-1-86 दिया गया था। आवेदक ने उक्त सीमाशुल्क निकासी परमिट की अनुलिपि प्रति जारी करने का इस आधार पर आवेदन किया है कि मूल सीमाशुल्क निकासी परमिट अस्थायित्व/खो गई है। आवेदक यह भी कहा गया है कि मूल सीमाशुल्क निकासी परमिट को किसी सीमाशुल्क प्राधिकारी के पास प्रजोक्त नहीं करवाया गया था इस प्रकार सीमाशुल्क निकासी परमिट के मूल्य का विलकुल भी उपयोग नहीं किया गया है।

2. अपने तर्क के समर्थन में लाइसेंस धारक ने उचित न्यायिक प्राधिकारी के सम्मुख विधिवत् शपथ लेकर एक शपथपत्र दाखिल किया है। तदनुसार मैं संतुष्ट हूँ कि मूल सीमाशुल्क निकासी परमिट सं. पी/जे/3053340 दिनांक 10-1-86 आवेदक द्वारा खो गई है। समय-समय पर यथा संशोधित आयात (नियंत्रण) आदेश, 1955 दिनांक 7-12-1955 की उप-धारा 9 (सी सी) द्वारा प्रदत्त अधिकारों का प्रयोग करते हुए श्रीमती वासुमती महेन्द्र पटेल को जारी उक्त सीमाशुल्क निकासी परमिट सं. पी/जे/3053340, दिनांक 10-1-86 को एतद्वारा रद्द किया जाता है।

3. पार्टी को सीमाशुल्क निकासी परमिट की अनुलिपि प्रति को अलग से जारी किया जा रहा है।

[फा. सं. ए/पी-61/85-86/बी एल एस/95]

एल. एस. कृष्णामूर्ति, उप मुख्य निर्यातक, आयात-निर्यात  
कृते मुख्य निर्यातक, आयात-निर्यात

S.O. 1829.—Mrs. Vasumati Mahendra Kumar Patel P.O. Box 3853, Sharjah, UAE was granted a Customs Clearance Permit No. P/J/3053340 dt. 10-1-86 for Rs. 99750 only for import of One Honda Accord 1985 Model car. The applicant has applied for issue of Duplicate copy of the above mentioned Customs Clearance Permit on the ground that the

original CCP has been misplaced/lost. It has further been stated that the original CCP was not registered with any Customs authority and such the value of the CCP has not been utilised at all.

2. In support of his contention, the licensee has filed an affidavit duly sworn before appropriate judicial authority. I am accordingly satisfied that the original CCP No. P/J/3053340 dt. 10-1-86 has been lost by the applicant. In exercise of the powers conferred under sub-Clause 9(cc) of the Import (Control) Order, 1955 dated 7-12-1955 as amended from time to time, the said original CCP No. P/J/3053340 dt. 10-1-86 issued to Mrs. Vasumati Mahendra Kumar Patel is hereby cancelled.

3. A duplicate copy of the Customs Clearance Permit is being issued to the party separately.

[F. No. A/P-61/85-86/BLS/95]

N. S. KRISHNAMURTHY, Dy. Chief Controller of Imports & Exports.

For Chief Controller of Imports & Exports

नई दिल्ली, 24 जून, 1986

निरन्त्री आदेश

का.अ. 1930.—महं श्री आरिन्दम हंशीनाथदस, मोती भवन, रानी बजार, सहारनपुर को एक अग्रिम लाइसेंस सं. पी.कि/3025134/सी/एक्स. एक्स/91/डी/83 दिनांक 3-5-84 को वजन 12686 किलोग्राम के आयात के लिए 1,52,200/- रु. का जारी किया गया था।

फर्म ने यह सूचित किया है कि उक्त अग्रिम लाइसेंस की दोनों कॉपीयां (एक्सचेंज एवं कस्टम प्रयोजन) एवं इससे सम्बन्धित डी ई ई सी बुक सं. 010449 और 010550 (बमबई) दिनांक 5-5-84 को जारी की गई, बिना किसी बदरगाह अधिकारी के पास पंजीकृत किए एवं बिल्कुल ही बिना उपयोग किए कहीं खो गई है/चोरी हो गई है।

आवेदक फर्म को इस कथन के समर्थन में अब एक शपथपत्र, आयात-निर्यात की कार्यविधि पुस्तिका 1985-88 के पैरा 85-87 के अन्तर्गत प्रस्तुत किया है।

मैं समुचित हूँ कि उक्त आयात लाइसेंस की मूल कस्टम प्रयोजन एवं एक्सचेंज कंट्रोल एवं दोनों डी ई ई सी बुक खो गई है/चोरी हो गई है।

अतः आयात-व्यापार नियंत्रण आदेश 1955 दि. 7-12-55 (यथा संशोधित) की धारा 9 (डी) में प्रदत्त अधिकारों का प्रयोग करते हुए मैं उपरोक्त लाइसेंस सं. 3025134 दि. 3-5-84 की मूल कस्टम एवं एक्सचेंज एवं दोनों डी ई ई सी बुक कॉपी का निरस्त करने का आदेश देता हूँ।

आवेदक की प्रार्थना पर अब आयात-निर्यात की कार्यविधि पुस्तिका 1985-88 के पैरा 85-87 के अनुसार उक्त लाइसेंस सं. पी/कि/3025134/सी/एक्स/91/डी/83 दि. 3-5-84 की कस्टम एवं एक्सचेंज कॉपी की अनुसूचि (डूल्डिफेड कॉपी) जारी करने पर विचार किया जाएगा।

[का. सं. एडवांस्/लाइसेंस/यू.डी.ई.सं-588 एएम 84 एएसएम II/स.एसएम]

एस. एल. चोहान, उप मुख्य नियंत्रक, आयात-निर्यात  
कूते सयुक्त मुख्य नियंत्रक, आयात-निर्यात

New Delhi, the 24th June, 1985

#### CANCELLATION ORDER

S.O. 1830.—M/s. Oriental Handicrafts, Moti Bhawan, Rani Bazar, Saharanpur were granted advance licence No. P/K/3025134/C/XX/91/D/83 Dt. 3-5-84 for Rs. 1,52,200 for Import of 12686 kgs of brass scrap.

The firm have reported that both custom and exchange copies of the said advance licence together with DEEC Book Nos. 010449 and 010550 (Bom) dt. 5-5-84 have been lost/stolen without having been registered with any port authority and having been not utilised at all.

The firm have filed an affidavit in support of the above statement as required under paras 85-87 of hand book of Import Export Procedure 1985-88. I am satisfied that the original custom purpose copy as well as exchange control

copy of the said advance licence and both DEEC books have been lost/stolen.

In exercise of the powers conferred on me under section 9(D) of Import (Control) order, 1955 dt. 7-12-1955 as amended upto date, I hereby order cancellation of the said original custom clearance purpose copy as well as exchange control copy of the advance licence both DEEC books.

The applicant's case will now be considered for issue of duplicate customs purpose copy any exchange control copy of Import Licence No. P/K/3025134/C/XX/91/D/83 dt. 3-5-84 for Rs. 1,52,200 and also DEEC books Nos. 10549 & 10550 dt. 5-5-84 in accordance with para 85-87 of hand book of Import & Export Procedure-1985-88.

[F. Adn./UJr./UDES-588/A.M. 84/ALS.II/CLA]

S. L. CHOHAN, Dy. Chief Controller of Imports and Exports..

For Jt. Chief Controller of Imports and Exports

#### पेट्रोलियम और प्राकृतिक गैस मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 16 मई, 1986

का. अ. 1831.—यतः पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पदार्थमन्त्रालय (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का वर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन भारत सरकार के ऊर्जा मंत्रालय, पेट्रोलियम विभाग को अधिसूचना का. अ. सं. 2138 तारीख 8-5-85 द्वारा केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमियों में उपयोग के अधिकार को पदार्थमन्त्रालयों को बिछाने के लिए अर्जित करने का अवकाश घोषित कर दिया था।

और यतः सभ्य प्राधिकारी ने उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन सरकार को रिपोर्ट दे दी है।

और अतः, यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उक्त रिपोर्ट पर विचार करने के पश्चात् इस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूचि में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमियों में उपयोग का अधिकार अर्जित करने का विनिश्चय किया है।

अब, यतः उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्ति का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा घोषित करती है कि इस अधिसूचना में संलग्न अनुसूचि में विनिर्दिष्ट उक्त भूमियों में उपयोग का अधिकार पदार्थमन्त्रालय बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए एतद्वारा अर्जित किया जाता है।

और अतः उस धारा की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार निर्देश देती है कि उक्त भूमियों में उपयोग का अधिकार केन्द्रीय सरकार में निहित होने की वजह से और प्राकृतिक गैस आयोग में, सभा बाधाओं से मुक्त रूप में, घोषणा के प्रकाशन की इस तारीख को निहित होगा।

#### अनुसूची

एन. के. ई. एल. से एन. के. तः ए. से एन. के. जी. जी. एस.

राज्य :—गुजरात, जिला :—अहमदाबाद, तालुका :—विरमगाम

गांव	तबों नं.	हेक्टेयर	घर.	सन्दीयर
पटारीया	46/1	0	02	40
	46/5	0	00	96
	46/3	0	06	48

[सं. O--12016/49/85-ओ एन जी डी-4]

पी. के. राजगोपालन, डेप्टी प्राधिकार

## MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM &amp; NATURAL GAS

New Delhi, the 16th April, 1986

S.O. 1831.—Whereas by notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum S.O. 2183 dated 8-5-85 under sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the schedule appended to that notification for the purpose of laying pipeline;

And whereas the Competent Authority has under Sub-Section (1) of Section 6 of the said Act, submitted report to the Government;

And further, whereas the Central Government has, after considering the said report, decided to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the schedule appended to this notification;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the power conferred by sub-section (1) of the Section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the said lands specified in the schedule appended to this notification hereby acquired for laying the pipeline;

And further in exercise of power conferred by sub-section (4) of that section, the Central Government directs that the right of user in the said lands shall instead of vesting in Central Government vests on this date of the publication of this declaration in the Oil & Natural Gas Commission free from encumbrances.

## SCHEDULE

Pipeline from NKEX to NKCH to NK GGS.

STATE : Gujarat; DISTRICT : Ahmedabad; TALUKA : Viramgam

Village	Survey No.	Hectare	Are	Centiare
BHATARIA	46/1	0	02	40
	46/5	0	00	96
	46/3	0	06	48

No. O-12016/49/85-ONGD-4]  
P.K. RAJAGOPALAN, Desk Officer

## स्वास्थ्य और परिवार कल्याण मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 16 अप्रैल, 1986

का. प्रा. सं. 1832:—भारतीय चिकित्सा केन्द्रीय परिषद अधिनियम, 1970 (1970 का 48) की धारा 14 की उप-धारा (2) द्वारा प्रवृत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार भारतीय चिकित्सा केन्द्रीय परिषद से परामर्श करने के बाद उक्त अधिनियम की दूसरी अनुसूची में निम्नलिखित और संशोधन करती है, अर्थात् :—

(क) उक्त अनुसूची के भाग - 1 में :—

- (1) "महाराष्ट्र" शीर्ष के अन्तर्गत प्रायुर्वेदिक और यूनानी चिकित्सा पद्धतियाँ, बम्बई, संकाय से संबंधित क्रम संख्या 60 के सामने काखम 2, 3 और 4 में मौजूदा प्रविष्टियों के बाद निम्नलिखित प्रविष्टियाँ जोड़ी जाएँ, अर्थात् :—

2	3	4
"केलो आफ दी फैमली एक. एक. ए. एम. 1971 से 1983 तक";		
आफ प्रायुर्वेदिक मेडिसिन		

- (2) "राजस्थान" शीर्ष के अन्तर्गत राजस्थान विश्वविद्यालय, ज-3, से संबंधित क्रम संख्या 91 "क" के भागे काखम 2, 3 और 4

में मौजूदा प्रविष्टियों के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित प्रविष्टियाँ प्रतिस्थापित की जाएँ अर्थात् :—

2	3	4
"प्रायुर्वेदिक आर्य"	—	1972 से 1980 तक
प्रायुर्वेद बृहस्पति	—	1972 से 1982 तक
प्रायुर्वेद भार्य	—	1981 से 1984 तक";

(ख) उक्त अनुसूची के भाग-2 में :—

- (1) "राजस्थान" शीर्ष के अन्तर्गत राजपुताना प्रायुर्वेदिक और यूनानी तिब्बती कालेज, जयपुर से संबंधित क्रम संख्या 20 के भागे काखम 2, 3 और 4 में मौजूदा प्रविष्टियों के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित प्रविष्टियाँ प्रतिस्थापित की जाएँ, अर्थात् :—

2	3	4
"उमदे-सुक-हुकमा	—	1930 से 1980 तक
तबीब फाजिल	—	1930 से 1981 तक";

- (2) "उत्तर प्रदेश" शीर्ष के अन्तर्गत क्रम संख्या 24 और तत्संबंधी प्रविष्टियों के बाद निम्नलिखित क्रम संख्या और प्रविष्टियाँ जोड़ी जाएँ, अर्थात् :—

1	2	3	4
"25. जमियातुन भातामिदुत-तिब	एम. यू. एम. एस. 1908 से 1942 तक"		
नकाबा यूनानी	बास-अराहत		
मेडिकल स्कूल			
इलाहाबाद			

[सं. बी-26015/10/81-ए. ई.]

भार. एस. मायूर, भवर सचिव

नोट— भारतीय चिकित्सा केन्द्रीय परिषद अधिनियम, 1970 (1970 का 48) की दूसरी अनुसूची के बाद में संशोधित की गयी है, ; देखिए :—

- (1) का. प्रा. संख्या 4068, दिनांक 30 नवम्बर, 1979
- (2) का. प्रा. संख्या 2635, दिनांक 18 सितम्बर, 1980
- (3) का. प्रा. संख्या 2313, दिनांक 20 अगस्त, 1981
- (4) का. प्रा. संख्या 2314, दिनांक 2 अगस्त, 1981
- (5) का. प्रा. संख्या 137, दिनांक 24 दिसम्बर, 1981
- (6) का. प्रा. संख्या 638, दिनांक 25 जनवरी, 1982
- (7) का. प्रा. संख्या 661, दिनांक 2 फरवरी, 1982
- (8) का. प्रा. संख्या 973, दिनांक 20 फरवरी, 1982
- (9) का. प्रा. संख्या 354(ई) दिनांक 6 मई, 1983
- (10) का. प्रा. संख्या 3550 दिनांक 5 सितम्बर, 1983
- (11) का. प्रा. संख्या 804 (ई) दिनांक 11 नवम्बर, 1983
- (12) का. प्रा. संख्या 462 (ई) दिनांक 23 जून, 1984
- (13) का. प्रा. संख्या 1911 दिनांक 17 अप्रैल, 1985
- (14) का. प्रा. संख्या 2745 दिनांक 29 मई, 1985
- (15) का. प्रा. संख्या 3404 दिनांक 5 जुलाई, 1985
- (16) का. प्रा. संख्या 4057 दिनांक 14 अगस्त, 1985
- (17) का. प्रा. संख्या 5603 दिनांक 2 दिसम्बर, 1985
- (18) का. प्रा. संख्या 5671 दिनांक 5 दिसम्बर, 1985

## MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE

New Delhi, the 16th April, 1986

S.O. 1833.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (7) of section 14 of the Indian Medicine Central Council Act 1970 (48 of 1970), the Central Government, after consulting the Central Council of Indian Medicine, hereby makes the following further amendment in the Second Schedule to the said Act, namely :—

(a) In Part I of the said Schedule,—

(1) under the heading “Maharashtra” against serial number 6) relating to Faculty of Ayurvedic and Unani Systems of Medicine, Bombay, in columns 2, 3 and 4, after the existing entries, the following entries shall be added, namely :—

2	3	4
“Follow of the Faculty of Ayurvedic Medicine	F.F.A.M.	From 1971 to 1983”;

(2) under the heading “Rajasthan” against serial number 91 A relating to the Rajasthan University, Jaipur, in columns 2, 3 and 4, for the existing entries, the following entries shall be substituted, namely :—

2	3	4
“Ayurvedacharya	.....	From 1972 to 1980
Ayurved Brihaspati	.....	From 1972 to 1982
Ayurvedacharya	.....	From 1981 to 1984”;

In part II of the said schedule, :—

(1) under the heading “Rajasthan” against serial number 20 relating to the Rajputana Ayurvedic and Unani Tibbi College, Jaipur, in column 2, 3 and 4, for the existing entries the following entries shall be substituted, namely :—

2	3	4
“Umd-e-tul-Hukma	.....	From 1930 to 1980
Tibbi Fazil	.....	From 1930 to 1981”;

(2) under the heading “Uttar Pradesh” after serial number 24 and the entries relating thereto, the following serial number and the entries shall be added, namely :—

1	2	3	4
“25, Jamiatun	Matamidut-	M.U.M.S. From 1908 to	
Naqba Unani	tib-wal-	1942”.	
Medical School	Jarahat		
Allahabad.			

[No. V-6015/10/81-AE]

R. S. MATHUR, Under Secy.

Notes: The Second Schedule to the Indian Medicine Central Council Act, 1970 (48 of 1970) has been subsequently amended:—

- (1) S.O. No. 4068, dated the 30th November, 1979.
- (2) S.O. No. 2635, dated the 18th September, 1980.
- (3) S.O. No. 2313, dated the 20th August, 1981.
- (4) S.O. No. 2314, dated the 22nd August, 1981.
- (5) S.O. No. 137, dated the 24th December, 1981.
- (6) S.O. No. 638, dated the 25th January, 1982.
- (7) S.O. No. 661, dated the 2nd February, 1982.
- (8) S.O. No. 973, dated the 20th February, 1982.
- (9) S.O. No. 354 (E), dated the 6th May, 1983.
- (10) S.O. No. 3550, dated the 5th September, 1983.
- (11) S.O. No. 804 (E), dated the 11th November, 1983.
- (12) S.O. No. 462 (E), dated the 23rd June, 1984.
- (13) S.O. No. 1911, dated the 17th April, 1985.

- (14) S.O. No. 2745, dated the 29th May, 1985.
- (15) S.O. No. 3404, dated the 5th July, 1985.
- (16) S.O. No. 4057, dated the 14th August, 1985.
- (17) S.O. No. 5603, dated the 2nd December, 1985.
- (18) S.O. No. 5671, dated the 5th December, 1985.

## कृषि मंत्रालय

कृषि अनुसंधान और शिक्षा विभाग

(भारतीय कृषि अनुसंधान परिषद)

नई दिल्ली 10 मार्च, 1986

का. भा. 1833.—भारतीय कृषि अनुसंधान परिषद द्वारा नियमित स्थायी वित्त समिति विनियमों के विनियम 2 (iv) के अनुसरण में तथा कृषि उत्पाद उपकर अधिनियम, 1940 की धारा 7 (2) में निहित प्रावधानों के अनुसरण में, श्री रमवीर सिंह, लोक सभा सदस्य को, जो शासी निकाय के भी सदस्य हैं, इस निकाय द्वारा दिनांक 21-12-85 से एक वर्ष की अवधि हेतु अथवा उस समय तक जब तक कि उत्तराधिकारी का विशिष्ट निर्वाचन हो, इन में से जो भी पहले हो उस समय तक के लिए स्थायी वित्त समिति के सदस्य के रूप में निर्वाचित कर लिया गया है।

[मि. सं. 2/(1)85-समन्वय-I]

## MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE

(Department of Agricultural Research and Education)

(Indian Council of Agricultural Research)

New Delhi, the 10th March, 1986

S.O. 1833.—In pursuance of Regulation 2(iv) of the Standing Finance Committee Regulations framed by the Indian Council of Agricultural Research and in pursuance of provision contained in Section 7(2) of the Agricultural Produce Cess Act, 1940, Shri Rana Vir Singh, Member Lok Sabha, member of Governing Body has been elected by that Body to be member of the Standing Finance Committee for a period of one year with effect from 21st December, 1985 or till such time as his successor is duly elected, whichever is later.

[F. No. 2(1)/85-CDN. I]

का. भा. 1834.—भारतीय कृषि अनुसंधान परिषद द्वारा नियमित स्थायी वित्त समिति विनियमों के विनियम 2 (iv) के अनुसरण में तथा कृषि उत्पाद उपकर अधिनियम, 1940 की धारा 7(2) में निहित प्रावधानों के अनुसरण में, श्री रमवीर सिंह, लोक सभा सदस्य को, जो शासी निकाय के भी सदस्य हैं, इस निकाय द्वारा दिनांक 21-12-85 से एक वर्ष की अवधि हेतु अथवा उस समय तक जब तक कि उत्तराधिकारी का विशिष्ट निर्वाचन हो, इन में से जो भी पहले हो उस समय तक के लिए स्थायी वित्त समिति के सदस्य के रूप में निर्वाचित कर लिया गया है।

[मि. सं. 2(1)/85-समन्वय -I]

एम. जी. मेनन, अवर सचिव

S.O. 1834.—In pursuance of Regulation 2(iv) of the Standing Finance Committee Regulations framed by the Indian Council of Agricultural Research and in pursuance of provision contained in Section 7(2) of the Agricultural Produce Cess Act, 1940, Shri Rana Vir Singh, Member, Lok Sabha, member of Governing Body has been elected by that Body to be member of the Standing Finance Committee for a period of one year with effect from 21st December, 1985 or till such time as his successor is duly elected, whichever is later.

[F. No. 2(1)/85-CDN-I]  
M. G. MENON, Under Secy.

[File No. 811|2|85-P(C)]



का. धा. 1837.—सिनेमाटोग्राफ (प्रमाणीकरण) नियमावली, 1983 के नियम 8 के उप नियम (1) के साथ पठित सिनेमाटोग्राफ अधिनियम, 1952 की धारा 5(1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा यह निर्देश देती है कि निम्नलिखित व्यक्ति 15 अप्रैल, 1986 से फिल्म प्रमाणन बोर्ड के सहायक सलाहकार पैनल के सदस्य नहीं रहेंगे :—

1. श्री शास्त्री रामचन्द्रन
2. डा. उषा राघवन
3. श्रीमती सारा सैयद युसुफ
4. श्री डी. आर. के. राव
5. श्रीमती सारा चन्दा
6. श्रीमती रश्मि पटेल
7. श्री एस. महादेवन
8. श्रीमती देवकी मुथिया
9. श्रीमती लक्ष्मी राजाराम
10. श्रीमती अनुसुया माधव राव
11. श्रीमती अनुराधा अप्पा राव
12. श्रीमती जयन्ती प्रेमा राव
13. श्रीमती रेखा प्रभाकर
14. श्री के. मोहन रंगम
15. श्रीमती पी. सेखरमिनी
16. श्रीमती निर्मला श्रीनिवासन
17. श्रीमती लक्ष्मी सेनन
18. श्रीमती रोता लक्ष्मीनारायण
19. श्रीमती सेधावामिनी सेनन
20. श्री आदित्य महापात्र
21. श्रीमती गीता बंकर
22. श्रीमती कल्पना भट्ट
23. श्री श्रीकृष्ण भट्ट
24. श्री एस. एन. कुमार
25. श्री बी. राधाकृष्ण
26. श्री के. एन. रघुवेंद्र राव
27. प्रो. के. के. गोवदा
28. श्रीमती लीला शोकर
29. श्रीमती शकुन्तला राव

[का. सं. 811/2/85-एफ (सी)]  
इकबाल कृष्ण, भवर सचिव

S.O. 1837.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 5(1) of the Cinematograph Act, 1952 read with sub-rule (1) of rule 8 of the Cinematograph (Certification) Rules, 1983, the Central Government hereby directs that the following persons shall cease to be members of the Madras Advisory Panel of the Board of Film Certification with effect from 15th April, 1986.

1. Shri Shastri Ramachandran
2. Dr. Usha Raghavan
3. Smt. Sara Syed Yusuf
4. Shri D. R. K. Rao
5. Smt. Sara Chanda
6. Smt. Rashmi Patel
7. Shri S. Mahadevan
8. Smt. Devaki Muthia
9. Smt. Lakshmi Rajaram
10. Smt. Anusuya Madhava Rao
11. Smt. Anuradha Appa Rao
12. Smt. Jayanthi Prema Rao
13. Smt. Rekha Prabhakar
14. Shri K. Mohanarangam
15. Smt. P. Sowdamini
16. Smt. Nirmala Srinivasan
17. Smt. Lakshmi Menon

18. Smt. Rita Lakshmi Narain
19. Smt. Sowdamini Menon
20. Shri Aditya Mahapatra
21. Smt. Gita Banker
22. Smt. Kalpana Bhatt
23. Shri Srikrishna Bhatt
23. Shri S. N. Kumar
25. Shri B. Radhakrishnan
26. Shri K. N. Raghavendra Rao
27. Prof. K. K. Gowda
28. Smt. Leela Shekar
29. Smt. Shakuntala Rao

[File No. 811/2/85-F(C)]  
IQBAL KRISHAN, Under Secy.

### परिवहन मंत्रालय

(जल भूतल परिवहन विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 11 अप्रैल, 1986

का. धा. 1838.—केन्द्रीय सरकार, दंपघर अधिनियम 1927 (1927 का 17) की धारा 11 और धारा 4 के उपधारा (1) के अनुसरण में 11-4-86 से दो वर्षों का अवधि के लिए केन्द्रीय दंपघर सलाहकार समिति का नियुक्ति करता है जिसमें निम्नलिखित व्यक्ति शामिल हैं, अर्थात्:—

अध्यक्ष

1. सचिव  
परिवहन मंत्रालय  
जल भूतल परिवहन विभाग  
सदस्य
2. भारत सरकार के नाविक सलाहकार  
जहाज बंदर, बाल भन्व हृ. राचन्व मार्ग  
बम्बई-400001
3. वित्तीय सलाहकार  
परिवहन मंत्रालय  
जल भूतल परिवहन विभाग
4. मुख्य जल सर्वेक्षक, भारत सरकार की जल  
सर्वेक्षक कार्यालय पोस्ट बॉक्स नं. 75  
बेहलादून-743001
5. श्री. वं. नागयणस्वामी  
सदस्य राज्य सभा
6. श्री आर. एम. खिरहर  
सदस्य लोक सभा
7. श्री बी. टी. चोवुले  
चोवुले हाऊस  
मुरगांव बन्दरगाह  
गोवा-403803
8. श्री ए. जे. कौटे  
गोवा पटेल बोन कार्टे निमिटेड  
फार्मिंग बिजिनेस डेवलपमेंट मार्ग  
पोस्ट बॉक्स नं. 79, फोर्ट  
बम्बई-400001
9. कैप्टन बी. एस. गौरनाथ  
उप संरक्षक  
मुरगांव पोर्ट ट्रस्ट गोवा,  
फेडरेशन आफ इंडियन  
चेम्बर्स आफ कॉमर्स एंड  
इंडस्ट्रीज के प्रतिनिधि  
एसीसिएटेड चेम्बर्स आफ  
कॉमर्स एंड इंडस्ट्रीज आफ  
इंडिया के प्रतिनिधि

10. कैप्टन बी. सुब्रह्मण्यम  
तकनीकी प्रबंधक,  
भारतीय नौवहन निवास  
शिपिंग हाऊस,  
245, मैडम कामा रोड  
नारिमान प्वाइंट  
बम्बई-400021
- इंडियन नेशनल शिप  
ऑनर्स एसोसिएशन के प्रतिनिधि
5. Shri V. Narayanasamy, Member,  
Rajya Sabha.
6. Shri R.S. Khirhar, Member,  
Lok Sabha.
7. Shri V.D. Chowgule  
Chawgule House,  
Mormugao Harbour  
Goa-403003.
- Representative of the  
Federation of Indian  
Chambers of Commerce  
and Industry.
8. Mr. A.J. Couto,  
Gokak Patel Velkart Ltd.,  
Forbes Building, Charanjit  
Marg, P.B. No. 79, Fort  
Bombay-400001.
- Representative of the  
Associated Chambers  
of Commerce and In-  
dustry of India.
9. Capt. V.S. Gopinath,  
Deputy Conservator,  
Mormugao Port Trust,  
Goa.
- Representative of the  
Indian Ports Associa-  
tion.
10. Capt. V. Subramanian,  
Technical Manager, Shipping  
Corporation of India,  
Shipping House, 245, Madame  
Cama Road, Nariman Point,  
Bombay-400021.
- Representative of the  
Indian National Ship-  
owners' Association.
11. Capt. B.R. Rao, Joint Marine  
Superintendent, Scindia Steam  
Nav. Co. Ltd., Scindia House,  
Ballard Estate, Bombay-400038.
- Representative of the  
Indian National Ship-  
owners' Association.
12. Shri Premjibhai V. Khokhari,  
Hon. Gen. Secretary,  
Federation of All India  
Sailing Vessels Industry  
Association, Kharvabad,  
Porbandar, Gujarat.
- Representative of the  
Sailing Vessels Interests  
for West Coast.
13. Capt. Suresh Corera,  
Vice President, Tuticorin  
Sailing Vessels Owners  
Association, Beach Road,  
Tuticorin, Tamil Nadu.
- Representative of the  
Sailing Vessels Interests  
for East Coast.
14. Capt. E.M.D. 'Souza, Senior  
Executive, J.M. Baxi & Co.  
Bank Street, Bombay.
- Representative of the  
Company of Master  
Marineers of India.
15. Director General of  
Lighthouses and Lightships.
- Lx-Officio  
(Member-Secretary).
16. महानिदेशक बीपबर् और टॉपपोन एदेन, (सदस्य सचिव)

[फा० सं. एच. डब्ल्यू/एल. एल. इ. -15/85 (एम. एल.)]  
डी.डी. सूद, अवर सचिव

#### MINISTRY OF TRANSPORT

(Deptt. of Surface Transport)

(Shipping Wing)

New Delhi, the 11th April, 1986

S.O. 1838:—In pursuance of Sub-section (1) of section 4 and section 11 of the Lighthouse Act, 1927 (No. 17 of 1927), the Central Government hereby appoints for a period of two years w.e.f. 11-4-86 a Central Advisory Committee for Lighthouse, consisting of the following persons, namely:—

#### Chairman

1. Secretary,  
Ministry of Transport,  
Deptt. of Surface Transport.

Ex-officio.

#### Members

2. Nautical Adviser to the  
Govt. of India,  
Jahaz Bhavan, Walchand  
Hirachand Marg,  
Bombay-400001.
3. Financial Adviser,  
Ministry of Transport  
Deptt. of Surface Transport
4. Chief Hydrographer to the  
Govt. of India, Naval  
Hydrographic Office,  
Post Box No. 75  
Dohra Dun-248001.

Ex-officio.

-do-

-do-

#### भय मंत्रालय

नई दिल्ली, 16 अप्रैल, 1986

का.आ. 1839:—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार, भारत को किंग कोल लि. की बरोरा कोलियरी के प्रबंधन से सम्बद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक प्रतिकरण, नं. 2, खनबाद के पंचाट की प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार की 15-4-1986 की प्राप्ति हुआ था।

#### MINISTRY OF LABOUR

New Delhi, the 16th April, 1986

S.O. 1839.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Central Government

Industrial Tribunal No. 2, Dhanbad as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Barora Colliery of Messrs Bharat Coking Coal Ltd. and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 15th April, 1986.

## ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL (NO. 2) AT DHANBAD  
REFERENCE NO. 41 OF 1983

In the matter of Industrial Disputes under Section 10(1)(d) of the I.D. Act, 1947

## PARTIES :

Employers in relation to the management of Barora Colliery of Messrs Bharat Coking Coal Limited and their workmen.

## APPEARANCES :

On behalf of the workmen—Shri B. K. Ghosh, Member, Executive Committee, Janta Mazdoor Sangh.

On behalf of the employers—Shri B. Joshi, Advocate.

STATE : Bihar.

INDUSTRY : Coal.

Dated, Dhanbad, the 9th April, 1986

## AWARD

The Government of India, Ministry of Labour and Rehabilitation in exercise of the powers conferred on them under Section 10(1)(d) of the I.D. Act, 1947 has referred the following dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication vide their Order No. L-20012(4)/83-D.III(A), dated the 4th May, 1983.

## SCHEDULE

"Whether the demand of Sri Baban Singh, Magazine Clerk, Grade-III of Barora Colliery of Messrs Bharat Coking Coal Limited, Dhanbad for Grade-II Magazine Clerk, from the date Sri Sudhakar Pandey, Grade-II Magazine Clerk has been transferred to another section, is justified? If so, to what relief is the said workman entitled?"

The parties filed their respective W.S. Subsequently the workmen did not take any step in the reference and several adjournments were made. Lastly, on 13-3-86 Shri B. K. Ghosh appearing on behalf of the workmen submitted that the concerned workman was not interested in contesting the case and as such he prayed that the reference be disposed of accordingly. It will appear from the schedule of the order of reference that it was for the workmen to establish that the demand of the concerned workman for Grade-II Magazine Clerk was justified. As no evidence has been led on behalf of the workmen, it has to be held that the demand of the concerned workman Shri Babban Singh, Magazine Clerk Grade-III of Barora Colliery of M/s. B.C.C. Ltd. Grade-II Magazine Clerk from the date Shri Sudhakar Pandey Grade-II Magazine Clerk has been transferred to another section is not justified and accordingly the concerned workman is entitled to no relief. The Award is passed accordingly.

I. N. SINHA, Presiding Officer  
[No. L-20012(4)/83-D.III(A)]  
A. V. S. SARMA, Desk Officer

नई दिल्ली, 17 अप्रैल, 1986

का.भा. 1840.—सिनेमा कर्मकार और सिनेमा थियेटर कर्मकार (नियोजन का विनियमन) अधिनियम, 1981 (1981 का 50) की धारा 2 के खण्ड (घ) के अनुसूचन में, केन्द्रीय सरकार, निम्नलिखित सारणी के कालम (1) में उल्लिखित केरल सरकार के अधिकारियों की उक्त सारणी के कालम (2) में की गई संगत प्रविष्टि में विनिश्चित

क्षेत्र के लिए उक्त अधिनियम के अधीन संलग्न प्राधिकारी के रूप में कार्य करने के लिए प्राधिकृत करती है

## सारणी

अधिकारी का पदनाम	क्षेत्र
1	2
1. अपर अध्यापक	समस्त केरल राज्य
2. संयुक्त अध्यापक (प्रवर्तन)	समस्त केरल राज्य
3. उ. अध्यापक (अध्यापक का कार्यालय)	समस्त केरल राज्य

[सं. एत-61011/1/86-डी-1(ए) (8)]

New Delhi, the 17th April, 1986.

S.O. 1840:—In pursuance of clause (d) of section 2 of the Cine-Workers and Cinema Theatre Workers (Regulation of Employment) Act, 1981 (50 of 1981), the Central Government hereby authorises the officers of the Government of Kerala mentioned in column (1) of the Table below, to perform the functions of the competent authority under the said Act for area specified in the corresponding entry in column (2) of the said Table :—

## TABLE

Designation of the Officer	Area
1	2
1. Additional Labour Commissioner	Whole of Kerala State.
2. Joint Labour Commissioner (Enforcement)	Whole of Kerala State.
3. Deputy Labour Commissioner, (Office of the Labour Commissioner.)	Whole of Kerala.

[No. S-61011/1/86-D.I.(A)(viii)]

का.भा. 1841:—सिनेमा कर्मकार और सिनेमा थियेटर कर्मकार (नियोजन का विनियमन) अधिनियम, 1981 (1981 का 50) की धारा 4 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, निम्नलिखित सारणी के कालम (1) में उल्लिखित केरल सरकार के अधिकारियों की उक्त सारणी के कालम (2) में की गई संगत प्रविष्टि में विनिश्चित क्षेत्र के लिए उक्त अधिनियम के अधीन संलग्न प्राधिकारी नियुक्त करती है :

## सारणी

अधिकारी का पदनाम	क्षेत्र
1	2
1. अध्यापक	समस्त केरल राज्य
2. संयुक्त अध्यापक (योजना, अनुसूचन और प्रचार)	समस्त केरल राज्य
3. क्षेत्रीय संयुक्त अध्यापक, कबीलीन	तिरुनेलवेली, कबीलीन, पठानमथीट्टा और मलेप्पी के राजस्व जिले।
4. क्षेत्रीय संयुक्त अध्यापक, एर्नाकुलम	कोट्टायम, इडुक्की, एर्नाकुलम और तिरुपुर के राजस्व जिले।
5. क्षेत्रीय संयुक्त अध्यापक, कोजीकोड	पालघाट, मालापुरम, कोजीकोड, वाईनाड, कन्नानूर, कसेरगीड के राजस्व जिले।

1	2
6. उप श्रमायुक्त (औद्योगिक संबंध), एर्नाकुलम	कोट्टायम, एर्नाकुलम, इडुक्की और त्रिचूर के राजस्व जिले।
7. जिला श्रम अधिकारी, त्रिवेन्द्रम	त्रिवेन्द्रम का राजस्व जिला।
8. जिला श्रम अधिकारी, क्वीलों	क्वीलों का राजस्व जिला।
9. जिला श्रम अधिकारी, अलेप्पी	अलेप्पी का राजस्व जिला।
10. जिला श्रम अधिकारी, कोट्टायम	कोट्टायम का राजस्व जिला।
11. जिला श्रम अधिकारी, थोडुपुझा	इडुक्की का राजस्व जिला।
12. जिला श्रम अधिकारी, असवे	एर्नाकुलम का राजस्व जिला।
13. जिला श्रम अधिकारी, त्रिचूर	त्रिचूर का राजस्व जिला।
14. जिला श्रम अधिकारी, मालापुरम	मालापुरम का राजस्व जिला।
15. जिला श्रम अधिकारी, पालघाट	पालघाट का राजस्व जिला।
16. जिला श्रम अधिकारी, कोजीकोड	कोजीकोड का राजस्व जिला।
17. जिला श्रम अधिकारी, कन्नानूर	कन्नानूर का राजस्व जिला।
18. जिला श्रम अधिकारी, वाईना	वाईना का राजस्व जिला।
19. जिला श्रम अधिकारी, पठानमथीट्टा	पठानमथीट्टा का राजस्व जिला।
20. जिला श्रम अधिकारी, कसर्गोड	कसर्गोड का राजस्व जिला।

[संख्या एस-61011/1/86-डी-1(ए) (vii)]

S.O. 1841.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 4 of the Cine-workers and Cinema Theatre Workers (Regulation of Employment) Act, 1981 (50 of 1981), the Central Government hereby appoints the officers of the Government of Kerala mentioned in column (1) of the Table below, to be conciliation officers for the purposes of the said Act, for the area specified in the corresponding entry in column (2) of the said Table :—

TABLE

Designation of the Officer	Area
1	2
1. Labour Commissioner	Whole of Kerala State
2. Joint Labour Commissioner (Planning, Research and Publicity).	Whole of Kerala State.
3. Regional Joint Labour Commissioner, Quilon.	Revenue Districts of Trivandrum, Quilon, Pathanamthitta and Alleppey.
4. Regional Joint Labour Commissioner, Ernakulam.	Revenue Districts of Kottayam, Idukki, Ernakulam and Trichur.
5. Regional Joint Labour Commissioner, Kozhikode.	Revenue Districts of Palghat, Malappuram, Kozhikode, Wynad, Cannanore, Kasargode.

1	2
6. Deputy Labour Commissioner for Industrial Relations, Ernakulam.	Revenue District of Kottayam, Ernakulam, Idduki and Trichur.
7. District Labour Officer, Trivandrum.	Revenue District of Trivandrum.
8. District Labour Officer, Quilon.	Revenue District of Quilon.
9. District Labour Officer, Alleppey.	Revenue District of Alleppey.
10. District Labour Officer, Kottayam.	Revenue District of Kottayam.
11. District Labour Officer, Thodupuzha.	Revenue District of Idukki.
12. District Labour Officer, Alwaye.	Revenue District of Ernakulam.
13. District Labour Officer, Trichur.	Revenue District of Trichur.
14. District Labour Officer, Malappuram.	Revenue District of Malappuram.
15. District Labour Officer, Palghat.	Revenue District of Palghat.
16. District Labour Officer, Kozhikode.	Revenue District of Kozhikode.
17. District Labour Officer, Cannanore.	Revenue District of Cannanore.
18. District Labour Officer, Wynad.	Revenue District of Wynad.
19. District Labour Officer, Pathanamthitta.	Revenue District of Pathanamthitta.
20. District Labour Officer, Kasargod.	Revenue District of Kasargod.

[No. S. 61011/1/86-D.I.(A)(vii)]

का.प्रा.1842.:—सिनेमा कर्मकार और सिनेमा थियेटर कर्मकार (नियोजन का विनियमन) अधिनियम, 1981 (1981 का 50) की धारा 2 के खंड (घ) के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार, निम्नलिखित सारणी के कालम (1) में उल्लिखित तमिलनाडु सरकार के अधिकारियों को उक्त सारणी के कालम (2) में की गई संगत प्रविष्टि में विनिर्दिष्ट क्षेत्र के लिए उक्त अधिनियम के अधीन सक्षम प्राधिकारी के रूप में कार्य करने के लिए प्राधिकृत करती है :

सारणी

अधिकारी का पदनाम	क्षेत्र
1	2
सभी संयुक्त श्रमायुक्त	समस्त राज्य

[संख्या एस-61011/1/86-डी-1 (ए) (6)]

S.O. 1842.—In pursuance of clause (d) of section 2 of the Cine-workers and Cinema Theatre workers Regulation of Employment) Act, 1981 (50 of 1981), the Central Government hereby authorises the officers of the Government of Tamil Nadu mentioned in column (1) of the Table below, to perform the functions of the competent authority under the said Act

for the area specified in the corresponding entry in column (2) of the said Table:—

TABLE

Designation of the Officer	Area
(1)	(2)
All Joint Commissioners of Labour	Whole of the State

[No. S-61011/1/86-D.I. (A)(vi)]

का.प्र. 1843:—सिनेमा कर्मकार और सिनेमा थियेटर कर्मकार (नियोजन का विनियमन) अधिनियम, 1981 (1981 का 50) की धारा 4 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार नीचे दी गई सारणी के कालम (1) में उल्लिखित तमिलनाडु सरकार के अधिकारियों को उक्त सारणी के कालम (2) में संगत प्रविष्टि में विनिर्दिष्ट क्षेत्र के लिए उक्त अधिनियम के उद्देश्य से संराजन अधिकारी नियुक्त करती है:—

सारणी

अधिकारी का नाम	क्षेत्र
1	2
1. सभी उप श्रमायुक्त	समस्त राज्य
2. श्रम अधिकारी-I, मद्रास	मद्रास कारपोरेशन के 2 से 27, 42 से 62 और 64 से 66 विभाजन।
3. श्रम अधिकारी-II, मद्रास	मद्रास कारपोरेशन के 1, 28 से 41, 63, 67 से 88, 81 से 93, 95, 98, 99, 101 से 106 विभाजन।
4. श्रम अधिकारी-III, मद्रास	मद्रास कारपोरेशन के 89, 90, 94, 96, 97, 100, 107 से 150 विभाजन।
5. श्रम अधिकारी, वेल्लोर	उत्तरी आरकोट जिला।
6. श्रम अधिकारी, सलेम	सलेम जिला।
7. श्रम अधिकारी, धर्मापुरी	धर्मापुरी जिला
कृष्णापुरी में धर्मापुरी।	
8. श्रम अधिकारी, इरोडे	पेरियार जिला।
9. श्रम अधिकारी, कोयम्बटूर	कोयम्बटूर जिला।
10. श्रम अधिकारी, कून्नूर	नीलगिरी जिले के कून्नूर और कोमागिरी तालुक।
11. श्रम अधिकारी, गुडालूर	नीलगिरी जिले के गुडालूर और ओटी तालुक।
12. श्रम अधिकारी, कुड्डलोर	दक्षिणी आरकोट जिला।
13. श्रम अधिकारी, थंजावूर	थंजावूर जिला।
14. श्रम अधिकारी, पुडुकोट्टाई	पुडुकोट्टाई जिला।
15. श्रम अधिकारी, मदुरै	मदुरै जिला।
16. श्रम अधिकारी, दिन्दिगुल	अन्ना जिला।
17. श्रम अधिकारी, रामनाथपुरम	रामनाथपुरम जिला।
18. श्रम अधिकारी, विरुधनगर	कामराजार जिला।
19. श्रम अधिकारी, सिवांगी	पेरुम्पन मय्यरामनगर जिला।

1	2
20. श्रम अधिकारी, तिरुनेलवेली	श्री वेङ्गुडम, तिरुनेलवेली और ननगुनेरी तालुकों को छोड़कर तिरुनेलवेली जिला।
21. सहायक श्रमायुक्त (संराजन) मांगरकोली	कन्याकुमारी जिला और तिरुनेलवेली जिले में श्रीवेङ्गुडम, तिरुनेलवेली तथा ननगुनेरी तालुक।

[सं. एस-61011/1/86-डी-1 (ए) (5)]

S.O. 1843.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 4 of the Cine-Workers and Cinema Theatre Works (Regulation of Employment) Act, 1981 (50 of 1981), the Central Government hereby appoints the officers of the Government of Tamil Nadu mentioned in column (1) of the Table below, to be conciliation officers for the purposes of the said Act, for the area specified in the corresponding entry in column (2) of the said Table:—

TABLE

Designation of the Officer	Area
(1)	(2)
1. All Deputy Commissioners of Labour.	Whole of the State.
2. Labour Officer-I Madras.	2 to 27, 42 to 62 and 64 to 66 divisions of Corporation of Madras.
3. Labour Officer-II, Madras.	1, 28 to 41, 63, 67 to 88, 91 to 93, 95, 98, 99, 101 to 106 divisions of Corporation of Madras.
4. Labour Officer-III, Madras.	89, 90, 94, 96, 97, 100, 107 to 150 division of Corporation of Madras.
5. Labour Officer, Vellore	North Arcot District.
6. Labour Officer, Salem	Salem District.
7. Labour Officer, Dharmapuri at Krishnagiri.	Dharmapuri District.
8. Labour Officer, Erode.	Periyar District.
9. Labour Officer, Coimbatore.	Coimbatore District.
10. Labour Officer, Coonoor.	Coonoor and Kothagiri Taluks of Nilgiris District.
11. Labour Officer, Gudalur.	Gudalur and Ooty Taluks of Nilgiris District.
12. Labour Officer, Cuddalore.	South Arcot District.
13. Labour Officer, Thanjavur.	Thanjavur District.
14. Labour Officer, Pudukottai.	Pudukottai District.
15. Labour Officer, Madurai.	Madurai District.
16. Labour Officer, Dindigul.	Anna District.
17. Labour Officer, Ramanathapuram.	Ramanathapuram District.
18. Labour Officer, Virudhunagar	Kamarajar District.

1	2
19. Labour Officer, Sivagangam.	Pasumpon Muthuramalingam District.
20. Assistant Commissioner of Labour (Conciliation), Nagercoil.	Kannyakumari district and taluks of Srivakuntam, Tiruchendur and Nanguneri in Tirunelveli District.

[No. S-61011/1/86-D.1.(A)(v)]

का. प्रा. 1844:—सिनेमा कर्मकार और सिनेमा थियेटर कर्मकार (नियोजन का विनियमन) अधिनियम, 1981 (1981 का 50) की धारा 2 के खंड (घ) के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार निम्नलिखित सारणी के कालम (1) में उल्लिखित कर्नाटक सरकार के अधिकारियों को उक्त सारणी के कालम (2) में की गई संगत प्रविष्टि में विनिर्दिष्ट क्षेत्र के लिए उक्त अधिनियम के अधीन सक्षम प्राधिकारी के कार्य करने के लिए पदभार करती है:—

## सारणी

अधिकारी का पदनाम	क्षेत्र
1	2
1. संयुक्त अध्यायुक्त, बंगलूर-(I)	समस्त कर्नाटक राज्य
2. संयुक्त अध्यायुक्त, बंगलूर (II)	समस्त कर्नाटक राज्य
3. उप अध्यायुक्त बंगलूर क्षेत्र-I, बंगलूर	बंगलूर सिटी कारपोरेशन विभाजन सं. 1 से 50, 52, से 55, 71 से 73, 81 से 83, 86 और डोड्डाबल्लापुर, नेलामंगला, होसकोटे, देवनाहल्ली, कनकपुरा, चन्नपटना, के तालुक बंगलूर जिले का बंगलूर उत्तरी तालुक और समस्त टुमकुर जिला
4. उप अध्यायुक्त, बंगलूर क्षेत्र-II, बंगलूर	बंगलूर सिटी कारपोरेशन विभाजन सं. 51, 56 से 70, 74 से 80, 84, 85, 87 और रामनगरम, मागडी के तालुक, बंगलूर जिले का बंगलूर दक्षिण तालुक और कोलार, मांडिया और मैसूर के समस्त जिले।
5. उप अध्यायुक्त, हासन क्षेत्र, हासन।	हासन, चिकमगलूर, कोडागू, दक्षिण कन्नड़ और शिमोगा जिले।
6. उप अध्यायुक्त गुलबर्गा क्षेत्र, गुलबर्गा	गुलबर्गा, बिदार, रायपुर, बेलारी और चित्रदुर्ग जिले।
7. उप अध्यायुक्त, बेलगांव क्षेत्र, बेलगांव।	बेलगांव, धारवाड़, उत्तरा कन्नड़ और बीजापुर जिले।

[सं. एम-61011/1/86-डी-1 (ए) (iv)]

S.O.1844.—In pursuance of clause (d) of section 2 of the Cine-Workers and Cinema Theatre Workers (Regulation of Employment) Act, 1981 (50 of 1981), the Central Government hereby authorises the officers of the Government of Karnataka mentioned in column (1) of the Table below, to perform the functions of the competent authority under the

said Act for the area specified in the corresponding entry in column (2) of the said Table:—

TABLE

Designation of the officer	Area
(1)	(2)
1. The Joint Labour Commissioner, Bangalore (I).	The whole State of Karnataka.
2. The Joint Labour Commissioner, Bangalore (II).	The whole State of Karnataka.
3. The Deputy Labour Commissioner, Bangalore Region-I, Bangalore.	Bangalore City Corporation Division No. 1 to 50, 52 to 55, 71 to 73, 81 to 83, 86 and Taluks of Doddaballapur, Nelamangala, Hoskote, Anekal, Devanahalli, Kanakapura, Channarayana, Bangalore North Taluk of Bangalore District and the whole of Tumkur District.
4. Deputy Labour Commissioner, Bangalore Region II, Bangalore	Bangalore City Corporation Division No. 51, 56 to 70, 74 to 80, 84, 85 87 and Taluks of Ramanagaram, Magadi, Bangalore South Taluk of Bangalore District and the whole of Districts of Kolar, Mandya and Mysore.
5. The Deputy Labour Commissioner, Hassan Region, Hassan.	Hassan Chickmagalur, Kodagu, Dakshina Kannada and Shimoga Districts.
6. The Deputy Labour Commissioner, Gulbarga Region, Gulbarga.	Gulbarga, Bidar, Raichur, Bellary and Chitradurga Districts.
7. The Deputy Labour Commissioner, Belgaum Region, Belgaum.	Belgaum, Dharwar, Uttara Kannada and Bijapur Districts.

[No. S-61011/1/86-D.II(A)(iv)]

का. प्रा. 1845:—सिनेमा कर्मकार और सिनेमा थियेटर कर्मकार (नियोजन का विनियमन) अधिनियम, 1981 (1981 का 50) की धारा 4 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, निम्नलिखित सारणी के कालम (1) में उल्लिखित कर्नाटक सरकार के अधिकारियों को उक्त सारणी के कालम (2) में की गई संगत प्रविष्टि में विनिर्दिष्ट क्षेत्र के लिए उक्त अधिनियम के प्रयोजन हेतु संरक्षक प्राधिकारी नियुक्त करती है

## सारणी

अधिकारी का नाम	क्षेत्र
1	2
1. अध्यायुक्त, कर्नाटक, बंगलूर।	समस्त कर्नाटक राज्य।

1	2
2. उप श्रमायुक्त (आर्द. आर्. ), बंगलौर	समस्त कर्नाटक राज्य ।
3. उप श्रमायुक्त (पी. एंड एस्. ) ।	समस्त कर्नाटक राज्य ।
4. सहायक श्रमायुक्त (संग्रहण)	समस्त बंगलौर जिला ।
5. सहायक श्रमायुक्त, बंगलौर डिवीजन-I	बंगलौर सिटी कारपोरेशन डिवीजन सं. 1 से 50, 52 से 55, 71 से 73, 81 से 83, 86 और डोड्डाबल्लापुर, नेला- मंगला, हासकोटे, कंकापुरा, चन्नापटना, देवमाहाल्ली, घनेकल के तालुक, बंगलौर जिले का बंगलौर उत्तर तालुक और टुमकुर जिला ।
6. सहायक श्रमायुक्त, बंगलौर डिवीजन-II, बंगलौर ।	बंगलौर सिटी कारपोरेशन डिवीजन सं. 51, 56 से 70, 74 से 80, 84, 85, 87, रामा- नगरम्, मागडी का तालुक और बंगलौर जिले का दक्षिण बंगलौर तथा कोलार जिला ।
7. सहायक श्रमायुक्त, मैसूर डिवी- जन, मैसूर	मैसूर और मांड्या जिला ।
8. सहायक श्रमायुक्त, बंगलौर डिवीजन, बंगलौर ।	दक्षिण कन्नड़ और शिमोगा जिला ।
9. सहायक श्रमायुक्त, चिकमगलूर डिवीजन, चिकमगलूर ।	चिकमगलूर, हासन और कोडगू जिला ।
10. सहायक श्रमायुक्त, बेलरी डिवीजन, दार्वणगर ।	चित्रदुर्ग और बेकरी जिला ।
11. सहायक श्रमायुक्त, बेलगांव डिवीजन, हुबली ।	धरवाड, बेलगांव, उत्तर कन्नड़ और बीजापुर जिला ।
12. सहायक श्रमायुक्त, गुलबर्गा	गुलबर्गा, रायचूर और बिदार जिला ।
13. श्रम अधिकारी, बंगलौर डिवी- जन I, बंगलौर ।	बंगलौर सिटी कारपोरेशन डिवीजन सं. 1 से 22, 25, 26, 71, 81, 82, 83, 86 और डोड्डा बल्लापुर, नेलामंगला, हासकोटे और देवमाहाल्ली के तालुक तथा बंगलौर उत्तरी तालुक और बंगलौर जिला ।
14. श्रम अधिकारी, बंगलौर डिवी- जन-II, बंगलौर ।	बंगलौर सिटी कारपोरेशन डिवीजन सं. 23, 24, 27 से 50, 52 से 55, 72 और 73, घनेकल, कंकापुरा और चन्ना- पटना के तालुक ।
15. श्रम अधिकारी, बंगलौर डिवी- जन-III, बंगलौर ।	बंगलौर सिटी कारपोरेशन, डिवीजन सं. 51, 56 से 70, 74 से 80, 84, 85 और 87 तथा बंगलौर जिले के रामा- नगरम् मागडी, बंगलौर दक्षिण तालुक ।

S.O. 1845.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 4 of the Cine-Workers and Cinema Theatre Workers (Regulation of Employment) Act, 1981 (50 of 1981), the Central Government hereby appoints the officers of the Government of Karnataka mentioned in column (1) of the Table below, to be conciliation officers for the purposes of the said Act, for the area specified in the corresponding entry in column (2) of the said Table :—

TABLE

Designation of the officer	Area
(1)	(2)
1. The Commissioner of Labour in Karnataka, Bangalore.	The whole State of Karnataka.
2. The Deputy Labour Commissioner (IR), Bangalore.	The whole State of Karnataka.
3. The Deputy Labour Commissioner, (P&S)	The whole State of Karnataka.
4. The Assistant labour Commissioner (Conciliation)	The whole of Bangalore District.
5. The Assistant Labour Commissioner, Bangalore Division-I.	Bangalore City Corporation Division Nos. 1 to 50, 52 to 55, 71 to 73, 81 to 83, 86 and Taluka of Doddaballapur, Nelamangala, Hoskote, Kanakapura, Channapatna, Devanahalli, Anekal, Bangalore North Taluk of Bangalore District and Tumkur District.
6. The Assistant Labour Commissioner, Bangalore Division-II, Bangalore.	Bangalore City Corporation Division Nos. 51, 56 to 70, 74 to 80, 84, 85, 87, Taluka of Ramanagaram, Magadi and Bangalore South of Bangalore District and Kolar District.
7. The Assistant Labour Commissioner, Mysore Division, Mysore.	Mysore and Mandya District.
8. The Assistant Labour Commissioner, Mangalore Division, Mangalore.	Dakshina Kannada and Shimoga district.
9. The Assistant Labour Commissioner, Chickmagalur Division, Chickmagalur.	Chickmagalur, Hassan and Kodagu District.
10. The Assistant Labour Commissioner, Bellary Division, Davangere.	District of Chitradurga and Bellary.
11. The Assistant Labour Commissioner, Belgaum Division, Hubli.	District of Dharwar, Belgaum, Uttara Kannada and Bijapur.
12. The Assistant Labour Commissioner, Gulbarga.	District of Gulbarga, Raichur and Bidar.

1	2
13. The Labour Officer, Bangalore Division-I, Bangalore.	Bangalore City Corporation Division Nos. 1, to 22, 25, 26, 71, 81, 82, 83, 86 and Taluka of Doddaballapur, Nelamangala, Hoskote and Devanahalli and Bangalore North Taluk and Bangalore District.
14. The Labour Officer, Bangalore Division-II, Bangalore.	Bangalore City Corporation Division Nos. 23, 24, 27 to 50, 52 to 55, 72 and 73, Taluks of Anekal, Kanakapura and Channarayana.
15. The Labour Officer, Bangalore Division-III, Bangalore.	Bangalore City Corporation Division Nos. 51, 56 to 70, 74 to 80, 84, 85 and 87 and Ramanagaram, Magadi, Bangalore South Taluk of Bangalore District.

[No. S-61011/1/86-D. I (A)(iii)]

का.प्र. 1846:—सिनेमा कर्मकार और सिनेमा थियेटर कर्मकार (नियोजन का विनियमन) अधिनियम, 1981 (1981 का 50) की धारा 2 के खण्ड (घ) के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार नीचे दी गई सारणी के कालम (1) में उल्लिखित आन्ध्र प्रदेश सरकार के अधिकारियों को उक्त सारणी के कालम (2) में संगत प्रविष्टि में विनिर्दिष्ट क्षेत्र के लिए उक्त अधिनियम के अंतर्गत सक्षम प्राधिकारी के कार्यों को करने के लिए प्राधिकृत करती है :—

सारणी

अधिकारी का पदनाम	क्षेत्र
1	2
1. संयुक्त अध्यायुक्त, हैदराबाद	पूरा राज्य
2. सहायक अध्यायुक्त (मूल्यांकन और कार्यान्वयन) हैदराबाद	पूरा राज्य
3. प्रतिष्ठानों के सहायक मुख्य निरीक्षक, हैदराबाद	पूरा राज्य
4. उप अध्यायुक्त, विशाखापट्टनम	श्रीकाकुलम, विशाखापट्टनम और बिजियानगरम जिले
5. उप अध्यायुक्त, इलुरु	ईस्ट गोदावरी, वेस्ट गोदावरी और कृष्णा जिले
6. उप अध्यायुक्त, गुंटूर	गुंटूर, प्रकासम और नेल्लोर जिले
7. उप अध्यायुक्त, कुर्नूल	कुर्नूल, प्रमंतपुर, कद्दापाह और विसिपूर जिले
8. उप अध्यायुक्त, वारांगल	वारांगल, खम्माम, करीम नगर और आदिलाबाद जिले
9. उप अध्यायुक्त, रंगारेड्डी जिला	रंगारेड्डी जिला तथा निम्नलिखित क्षेत्र :— 1. पथेनगर पंचायत क्षेत्र 2. उप्पल खालसा पंचायत क्षेत्र 3. अलबल पंचायत क्षेत्र 4. बालानगर पंचायत क्षेत्र

1	2
10. उप अध्यायुक्त, हैदराबाद (टिबन सीटीज)	हैदराबाद शहर, जिसमें निम्नलिखित क्षेत्र शामिल हैं :— II. महबूब नगर जिला III. नालगोडा जिला IV. निजामाबाद जिला V. मेदाक जिला
	1. हैदराबाद नगर निगम का हैदराबाद डिप्टीजम तथा सिकन्दराबाद डिप्टीजम । 2. सिकन्दराबाद कंटेनमेंट क्षेत्र 3. ओसमानिया विश्वविद्यालय कैम्पस 4. चन्दुलाल बोवली 5. टोक्कोटा 6. बिम्मलगिरी 7. सीतारामपुर 8. काका गुडा 9. मारवेपाल्ले मैगाह 10. मारवेपाल्ले सर्कल हास 11. रसूलपुरा 12. बेगमपेट पैगार 13. मन्ना बोसाराय 14. बोवनेपल्ली 15. धम्मगुडा 16. मल्लिकार्जुनी 17. गद्दी अन्नाय्य 18. काडिकल 19. लालागुडा 20. जमिस्तनपुर

[सं. एस-61011/1/86/ड1(ए)(2)]

S.O. 1846.—In pursuance of clause (d) of section 2 of the Cine-Workers and Cinema Theatre Workers (Regulation of Employment) Act, 1981 (50 of 1981), the Central Government hereby authorises the officers of the Government of Andhra Pradesh mentioned in column (1) of the Table below, to perform the functions of the Competent authority under the said Act for the area specified in the corresponding entry in column (2) of the said Table :—

TABLE

Designation of the Officer	Area
1	2
1. Joint Commissioner of Labour, Hyderabad.	Whole of the State.
2. Assistant Commissioner of Labour (Evaluation and Implementation), Hyderabad.	Whole of the State.
3. Assistant Chief Inspector of Establishments, Hyderabad.	Whole of the State.



1	2
4. Deputy Commissioner of Labour, Visakhapatnam.	Srikakulam, Visakhapatnam and Vizianagaram Districts.
5. Deputy Commissioner of Labour, Eluru.	East Godavari, West Godavari and Krishna Districts.
6. Deputy Commissioner of Labour, Guntur.	Guntur, Prakasam and Nellore Districts.
7. Deputy Commissioner of Labour, Kurnool.	Kurnool, Anantapur, Cuddapah and Chittoor Districts.
8. Deputy Commissioner of Labour, Warangal.	Warangal, Khammam, Karimnagar and Adilabad Districts.
9. Deputy Commissioner of Labour, Rangareddy District.	Rangareddy District, including the following areas : I. Fathenagar Panchayat area. II. Uppal Khalsa Panchayat area. III. Alwal Panchayat area. IV. Balanagar Panchayat area. V. Moosapet Panchayat area. VI. Kukatpally Panchayat area. VII. Jayathnagar Panchayat area. VIII. Mahaboobnagar District. IX. Nalgonda District. X. Nizamabad District. XI. Medak District.
10. Deputy Commissioner of Labour, Hyderabad (Twin Cities)	City of Hyderabad consisting of the following areas : I. Hyderabad Division and Secunderabad division of Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad. II. Secunderabad Cantonment area. III. Osmania University Campus. IV. Chandulal Bowli. V. Tokkota. VI. Trimalgiri. VII. Sitarampur. VIII. Kakaguda. IX. Marredpalle Paigah. X. Marredpalle Sarfe-Khas. XI. Rasoolpura. XII. Begumpet Paigah. XIII. Macha Bolaram. XIV. Bowenpalli. XV. Ammuguda. XVI. Malkajgiri. XVII. Gaddi Annaram. XVIII. Kandikal. XIX. Lalaguda. XX. Zamistanpur.

[No. S-61011/1/86-D.I(A) (II)]

का.आ. 1847:-सिलेमा कर्मकार और सिलेमा थियेटर कर्मकार (नियोजन का विनियमन) अधिनियम, 1931 (1931 का 50) का धारा 4 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रिय सरकार, नीचे दी गई शक्तियों के कालम (1) में उल्लिखित आन्ध्र प्रदेश सरकार के अधिकारियों को 99 GI/86-3

उक्त सारण के कालम (1) में दी गई संगत प्रविष्टि में विनिर्दिष्ट क्षेत्र के लिए उक्त अधिनियम के प्रोजेक्ट हेतु संगठन अधिकार नियुक्त करते हैं :—

सारण	
अधिकार का पदनाम	क्षेत्र
1	2
1. श्रमायुक्त, हैदराबाद	समस्त राज्य
2. सहायक श्रमायुक्त (प्रशासन) हैदराबाद।	समस्त राज्य
3. उप श्रमायुक्त, विशाखापत्तनम	ओन्काकुलम, विशाखापत्तनम तथा विजयानगरम जिले।
4. उप श्रमायुक्त, इलूरु	ईस्ट गोदावरी, वेस्ट गोदावरी और कृष्णा जिले।
5. उप श्रमायुक्त, गुंटूर	गुंटूर, प्रकासम तथा नेल्लोर जिले।
6. सहायक श्रमायुक्त, श्रीकाकुलम	श्रीकाकुलम जिला।
7. सहायक श्रमायुक्त, विजयानगरम	विजयानगरम जिला।
8. सहायक श्रमायुक्त, विशाखापत्तनम	विशाखापत्तनम, जिला (स्टील प्लांट, विशाखापत्तनम क्षेत्र को छोड़कर)
9. सहायक श्रमायुक्त, राजामुन्ने	ईस्ट गोदावरी जिला।
10. सहायक श्रमायुक्त, इलूरु	वेस्ट गोदावरी जिला।
11. सहायक श्रमायुक्त, विजयवाड़ा	कृष्णा जिला।
12. सहायक श्रमायुक्त, सक्ति-1, गुंटूर।	गुंटूर जिले के गुंटूर तालां, मंगलागिरि, पल्लापत्ताई, इमान, बापता रेपोल्ल, तालुक तलुगाम तथा गुंटूर म्यूनिसिपैलिटी के 1 से 13 वार्ड।
13. सहायक श्रमायुक्त, सक्ति-II, गुंटूर।	गुंटूर जिले के नरसारावेट, बेल्लू-कोंडा, चिलकापुरपेट, मछेरला, पिड्डुगुगुमा, गुरुजाला, प्रपूर, राजूपालेम और साधेतापाल तालुक और गुंटूर म्यूनिसिपैलिटी के वार्ड 14 से 36।
14. सहायक श्रमायुक्त, ओंगोल	प्रकासम जिला।
15. सहायक श्रमायुक्त, नेल्लोर	नेल्लोर जिला।
16. सहायक श्रमायुक्त, कुरनूल	कुरनूल जिला।
17. सहायक श्रमायुक्त, अनन्तपुर	अनन्तपुर जिला।
18. सहायक श्रमायुक्त, कुड्डापहा	कुड्डापहा जिला।
19. सहायक श्रमायुक्त, तिरुपति	तिरुपति जिला।
20. सहायक श्रमायुक्त, वरमनगर	वरमनगर जिला तथा अर्बिला-बाद जिला।
21. सहायक श्रमायुक्त, खम्माम	खम्माम जिला।
22. सहायक श्रमायुक्त, बारांगल	बारांगल जिला।
23. सहायक श्रमायुक्त, निजामाबाद	निजामाबाद तथा मेडक जिले।
24. सहायक श्रमायुक्त, नालगोंडा	नालगोंडा जिला।
25. सहायक श्रमायुक्त, सक्ति-I, रंगारेड्डु जिला।	रंगारेड्डु जिले तथा महबूब नगर जिले के मोलाला नाचराम, उज्जल, खालासा पंचायत क्षेत्र, खेरलापल्ला, अश्वल पंचायत क्षेत्र, कोसेगुडा, कतेवन, शमसाबाद, हयातनगर, पाठकेवर।

(1)	(2)	1	2
26. सहायक अमायुक्त, सक्ति-II, रंगारेडु जिला।	रंगारेडु जिले के पक्कनर पंचायत क्षेत्र, बालातनर पंचायत क्षेत्र, जदवेक्का कुलपुल्ल पंचायत क्षेत्र, मूसापेट पंचायत क्षेत्र, विगायल्ल, रामादम्पुल्ल, पारज, मेडनल और मंदिर।	9. Assistant Commissioner of Labour, Circle-I, Guntur.	Taluqs of Guntur, Tenali, Mangalagiri, Pallapattadu, Linnadi, Papatla, Repalle of Guntur District and Wards 1 to 13 of Guntur Municipality.
27. सहायक अमायुक्त, सक्ति-I, हैदराबाद।	सिकन्दराबाद शहर, हैदराबाद नगर निगम का सर्ट 6 और 7 ताकतुडा गांव सिकन्दराबाद केन्टीनमेंट क्षेत्र, माचा श्रीनारायण पंचायत क्षेत्र, त्रिभुवनपुर पंचायत क्षेत्र, टेक्कोटा मथारामपुर, तल्लपुडा, मारडपल्ल, रतुतुपुरा, वेगमपेट, बोयनपल्ल अम्पुगुडा, मालाजगिरि पंचायत क्षेत्र ओलेमनिय्या विश्वविद्यालय परिसर।	10. Assistant Commissioner of Labour, Circle-II, Guntur.	Taluqs of Narasapur, Vijayakonda, Chitlakurupeta, Machil, Pileugalla, Guntala, Ipur, Rajupalem and Sathenapalli of Guntur District and Wards 14 to 36 of the Guntur Municipality.
28. सहायक अमायुक्त, सक्ति-II, हैदराबाद।	सर्ट 6 तथा 7 ग्रामपंचायतपुर गांव, चंद्रगल बोरा, गोविंदाराम तथा कन्दिकलगेट को छोड़कर हैदराबाद के म्युनिसिपाल श.सर्ग।	11. Assistant Commissioner of Labour, Ongole.	Prakasam District.
		12. Assistant Commissioner of Labour, Nellore.	Nellore District.
		13. Assistant Commissioner of Labour, Kurnool.	Kurnool District.
		14. Assistant Commissioner of Labour, Anantapur.	Anantapur District.
		15. Assistant Commissioner of Labour, Cuddapah.	Cuddapah District.
		16. Assistant Commissioner of Labour, Tirupathi.	Chittoor District.
		17. Assistant Commissioner of Labour, Karimnagar.	Karimnagar District and Nalband District.
		18. Assistant Commissioner of Labour, Khammam.	Khammam District.
		19. Assistant Commissioner of Labour, Warangal.	Warangal District.
		20. Assistant Commissioner of Labour, Nizamabad.	Nizamabad and Medak District.
		21. Assistant Commissioner of Labour, Nalgonda.	Nalgonda District.
		22. Assistant Commissioner of Labour, Circle-I, Rangareddy District.	Moulali, Nacharam, Uppal, Khaleel, Panchayat area, Cherlapalli, Alwal Panchayat area, Kosaiguda, Katedan, Shamirabad, Hayatnagar, Ghatkesar of Rangareddy district and Mahabubnagar district.
		23. Assistant Commissioner of Labour, Circle-II, Rangareddy District.	Fathenagar Panchayat area, Balanagar Panchayat area, Jeedimetla, Kukarpalli Panchayat area, Moosapet Panchayat area, Lingampalli, Ramachandrapuram, Patnacheru, Vikarabad, Pargi, Medchal and Tandur of Rangareddy District.
		24. Assistant Commissioner of Labour, Circle-I, Hyderabad.	Secunderabad City, Wards 6 & 7 of Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad, Lalaguda Village, Secunderabad Cantonment area, Macha

[सं. एन-6101/1/6-ड-1 (ए) (I)]

S.O.1847.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 4 of the Cine-Workers and Cinema Theatre Workers (Regulation of Employment) Act, 1981 (50 of 1981), the Central Government hereby appoints the officers of the Government of Andhra Pradesh mentioned in column (1) of the Table below, to be conciliation officers for the purposes of the said Act, for the area specified in the corresponding entry in column (2) of the said Table —

TABLE

Designation of the Officer	Area
(1)	(2)
1. Commissioner of Labour, Whole of the State, Hyderabad.	
2. Assistant Commissioner of Labour (Administration), Hyderabad.	Whole of the State.
3. Assistant Commissioner of Labour, Srikakulam.	Srikakulam District.
4. Assistant Commissioner of Labour, Vizianagaram.	Vizianagaram District.
5. Assistant Commissioner of Labour, Visakhapatnam.	Visakhapatnam District (excluding area of Steel Plant, Visakhapatnam).
6. Assistant Commissioner of Labour, Rajahmundry.	East Godavari District.
7. Assistant Commissioner of Labour, Eluru.	West Godavari District.
8. Assistant Commissioner of Labour, Vijayawada.	Krishna District.

1	2	3
		Bolaram Panchayat area, Trinulgiri Panchayat area, Tokkota, Seetharampur, Kakaguda, Marredpalli, Rasoolpura, Begumpet, Bowanpalli, Ammuguda, Malakajiri Panchayat area, Osmania University Campus.
25. Assistant Commissioner of Labour, Circle-II, Hyderabad.		Municipal limits of Hyderabad Excluding Wards 6 & 7, Jamesthanpur Village, Chandulal Bowli, Gaddiannaram and Kandikalgate.

[No. S-61011/1/86-D.I(A) (i)]

का.अ. 1848:—केन्द्रीय सरकार उत्तरदात अधिनियम, 1933 (1933 का 31) के धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुये, अनुभाग अधिस्तार, धा. आर. ए. बंगेरा को तत्काल प्रभाव से उत्तरदात संख्या II, बम्बई के रूप में नियुक्त करते हैं।

[नं. ए-22012/1/86-उत्तरदात-II]

इन्दर सिंह, अवर सचिव

S.O. 1848.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 3, sub-section (1) of the Emigration Act, 1932 (31 of 1933), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri R. A. Bangera, Section Officer as Protector of Emigrants II, Bombay, with immediate effect.

[No. A-22012/1/86-Emig.II]

INDER SINGH, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 18 अप्रैल, 1986

शुद्धि-पत्र

का.अ. 1849:—भारत के राजपत्र, भाग II खण्ड 3, उप-खण्ड (ii) में तारीख 17 अगस्त, 1985 को प्रकाशित भारत सरकार के श्रम मंत्रालय के अधिसूचना संख्या का. अ. 1985, दिनांक 31 जुलाई, 1985 का सातवां खंड में "हैदराबाद" शब्द के स्थान पर "हैदराबाद (ए. ए. 295)" पढ़ें।

[नं. ए. 35014/451/82-प. ए. 2 (ए. ए. 295)]

ए. के. भट्टराई, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 18th April, 1986

## CORRIGENDUM

S.O. 1849.—In the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour No. S.O. 3953 dated the 31st July, 1985 published in the Gazette of India, Part II, Section 3, sub-section (ii), dated the 17th August, 1985, in line 6 for "Hyderabad (AP/195)" read "Hyderabad (AP/295)"

[No. S-35014/451/82-PF-II(SS II)]

A. K. BHATTARAI, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 24 अप्रैल, 1986

का.अ. 1850:—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार मलनखण्ड कापर प्रोजेक्ट के प्रबन्धन से सम्बद्ध

नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच अनुबन्ध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, जबलपुर (मध्य प्रदेश) के पंचाट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 9-4-86 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

New Delhi, the 24th April, 1986

S.O. 1850.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Jabalpur (MP) as shown in the Annexure, in the Industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Malanjkhanda Copper Project of Hindustan Copper Ltd. and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 9th April, 1986.

## ANNEXURE

BEFORE SHRI V. S. YADAV, PRESIDING OFFICER,  
CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL  
TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT,  
JABALPUR (M.P.).

Case No. CGIT/LC(R) (52)/1984.

(Notification No. L-43012(23)/84-D.III(B) dated 20-7-84)

## PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the management of Malanjkhanda Copper Project of Hindustan Copper Ltd.,  
P.O. Malanjkhanda, Distt. Balaghat (M.P.).

## AND

Their Workmen.

## APPEARANCES:

For Management.—Shri P. S. Nair, Advocate

For Workman.—None.

INDUSTRY : Copper Mine DISTRICT : Balaghat (M.P.).

## AWARD

Dated the 31st March, 1986.

Government of India, Ministry of Labour has referred this dispute under Section 10 of the I.D. Act. Schedule of reference thereof is as under:—

## SCHEDULE

"Whether the action of the management of Malanjkhanda Copper Project of Hindustan Copper Ltd. in striking off the name of Shri Prakash S/o Gullu, Sweeper from the rolls of the Project with effect from 20-9-83 is justified? If not, to what relief is the workman concerned entitled?"

The workman has failed to appear in spite of Regd. A.D. notice hence he was proceeded ex-parte.

The case of the management is that Shri Prakash was working as Sweeper in the Malanjkhanda Copper Project of Hindustan Copper Ltd., hereinafter referred as workman and management.

The workman had an extremely bad record in service. He remained habitually absent. Again the workman remained absent from 22-8-83 to 20-9-83 without any permission, leave or application to that effect. Thus in view of the provisions of Clause 19-E of Certified Standing Order and Clause 15.5 of the Annexure 9 of the settlement, the workman himself voluntarily brought out the situation which resulted in loss of lien. The action of the management in striking off the name of the workman from 20-9-83 was precipitated and necessitated by the workman himself.

Even otherwise absence without leave amounts to serious misconduct. The management will prove the misconduct before this Tribunal.

Management in order to prove the misconduct examined Shri K. K. R. Nair, Sr. Stenographer of the management. He has proved documents Ext. M-1 to M-5. Ext. M-5 is the order of transfer of the workman and others. Workman was transferred to Mines on 27-4-83. Ext. M-4 is the

report of the absence of the workman that in June, 1983 he presented himself for work only for 9 days and from 15-6-83 onwards he is absenting himself without intimation. On 28-6-83 he deposited his attendance card and left without intimation. Ext. M-3 is the notice dt. 30-7-83 giving the details of absence from April to July for 53½ days and stating that he should avoid this practice otherwise serious view will be taken. Ext. M-2 is the report that the workman again absented himself from 22-8-83. Ext. M-1 is the notice striking off the name of the workman under Clause 15.5 leave rules and Clause 19 of Certified Standing Orders.

Thus it is proved that the workman is a habitual absentee without intimation and finally absented himself from 20-8-83. Thus the misconduct is proved against him.

Besides this Cl. 19-E of the Certificate Standing Orders says that:

"If a workman remains absent without permission or overstays the period of leave originally granted or subsequently extended, he shall lose lien on his appointment and his name will automatically stand struck off from the rolls of the Company unless he returns within ten days of the expiry of the leave or the date from which he absented and explains to the satisfaction of the management his absence without permission or inability to return on the expiry of the leave."

There was a conciliation settlement between the management and the union and Clause 15.5 of the Annexure 9 of the settlement dt. 23-5-80 says—

"15.5. If a workman remains absent unauthorisedly for more than 10 days continuously he shall be deemed to have lost his lien on his appointment unless he—

(a) returns within 10 days of the date his losing the lien; and

(b) explains to the satisfaction of the management the reasons for unauthorised absence."

This settlement is binding on the parties under sub-section 3 of Section 18 of I.D. Act.

I thus find that the action of the management of Malanj-khand Copper Project of Hindustan Copper Ltd. in striking off the name of Shri Prakash, Sweeper from the rolls of Project w.e.f. 20-9-83 is justified. He is, therefore, not entitled to any relief.

The reference is answered accordingly.

V. S. YADAV, Presiding Officer  
[No. 43012(2)]84-D.III(B)]

का०आ० 1851—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार भारतीय खाद्य निगम, नागपुर के प्रबंधन से सम्बद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच अनुबन्ध में निदिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, जबलपुर के पंचाट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 9-4-86 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

S.O. 1851.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Jabalpur (MP) as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Food Corporation of India, Nagpur and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 9th April, 1986.

## ANNEXURE

BEFORE SHRI V. S. LADAV, PRESIDING OFFICER,  
CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL  
TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT,

JABALPUR (M.P.)

Case No. CGIT/LC(R)(63)/1985.

### PARTIES :

Employers in relation to the management of Food Corporation of India, Nagpur (M.S.) and their workmen, four in number mentioned in the Schedule to the reference, represented by F.C.I. Employees Association, C/o F.C.I. Ajni, Nagpur (M.S.).

### APPEARANCES :

For workmen.—S/Shri N. K. Shukla and Abuja.

For management.—Shri N. Sunderam, Distt. Manager F.C.I.

INDUSTRY : Food Corporation DISTRICT : Nagpur (M.S.)

### AWARD

Dated : March 27, 1986

In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, Government of India in the Ministry of Labour referred the following dispute vide Notification No. L-42012(8)/85-D.V Dated 19th July, 1985, for adjudication:—

"Whether the action of the management of Food Corporation of India, Nagpur in denying the claim of charge/deployment allowance to Gr. II Assistants (Depot & Technical) for performing duties of higher post of Grade I for the period noted against each is justified? If not, to what relief the workmen are entitled?"

### LIST OF WORKMEN

S. No.	Name	Period of performing duties of higher grade/post
1.	Shri T. U. Kamble, A.Gr.II	4-5-83 to 31-10-1984
2.	Shri S. M. Padalkar, T.A.Gr.II	5-10-83 to 22-12-1984
3.	Shri R. V. Pade, T.A.Gr.II	12-10-83 to date.
4.	Shri H. D. Deshmukh, T.A.Gr.II	5-1-1982 to 3-10-1984

2. The case of the applicant Shri T. U. Kamble is that he was working as A.G. II(D) under the Incharge of G/Shed Shri M. F. Nagarkar AG. II(D) who was transferred vide order dated 3-5-1985 and Shri Kamble was asked to work as Incharge of G/Shed in his place till substituted arrangement is made. Thus he performed the duties of higher grade with effect from 4-5-1983 to 31-10-1984, the date on which he handed over his charge to Shri T. I. Ashnani.

3. Similarly Shri S. M. Padalkar T.A. G.II was working at Mishal Godown under T.A.G. I, Shri A. N. Patil who was transferred vide order dated 6-10-1983 and Shri S. M. Padalkar was ordered to perform the duties of T.A. G.I in place of Shri A. N. Patil till the additional staff was posted at A.R.D.C. Godown. Again Shri A. N. Patil, T.A.G.I was transferred from Mishal Godown to Mishal Godown vide order dated 18-12-1984. Thus Shri S. M. Padalkar, T.A.G.II performed the duties of T.A.G.I in absence of Shri A. N. Patil with effect from 5-10-83 to 23-4-1984.

4. The cases of other workmen viz. S/Shri R. V. Pande, T.A.G.II and H. D. Deshmukh, T.A.G.II are also similar. The management has not paid the deployment allowance as per the circular No. 162 dated 9-11-1979.

5. The case of the management is that job description clearly states that Assistant Grade II(D) may be assigned work including duties listed in A.G.I(D) and A.G.II(D). working is not holding charge of the Railway Good Shed. He Further Railway Good Shed is not a Depot and the persons

only performs duties within the ambit of job description. Stocks are either with the Railway or with the road carrier during transit. Secondly there is no sanctioned post of A.G.I (Depot) and the charge allowances are paid only when a lower rank official operates the higher post in the substantive vacancy. This is so far as it relates to Shri T. U. Kamble.

6. As regards Technical Assistants Gr. II viz S/Shri S. M. Padalkar, R. V. Pande and H. D. Deshmukh they were performing only duties laid down for technical Assistant Gr. II and there was absolutely no extraction of unspecified work. Mere absence of A.G.I (Technical) in will not any way mean that Assistants Grade II (Technical) were performing what was not listed in the job description.

7. In support of their case, the workmen have relied on the office order, dated 9-11-1979 of the Head Office, New Delhi which lays down rated of deployment allowances revised from 1st April 1979. Management led no evidence to show that it has been superseded. The management has only filed the so called job description of the Asstt. Grade II (Depot) and the Technical Assistant Gr. II. Firstly the same has not been formally proved. Secondly the job descriptions of Asstt. Grade I and Technical Grade I have not been filed for comparison. Thirdly it says that the lower range of duties of .G.I(D) [Technical Assistant Gr. I over laps with the higher range of duties of A.G.(III)(D) and higher range of duties of A.G.II(D) overlaps with the lower range of duties of A.G.I(D). Thus it shows that the lower range of the higher grade over laps with the lower grade. But the higher range of the higher grade does not over lap with the lower range. Thus there appears to be difference in duties though it may be notional. No doubt that is why the department thought it fit to provide for the deployment allowances from top to bottom if they are deployed to do the work of higher range as is apparent from the office circular of the head office, New Delhi, dated 9-11-1979, Col. 5 thereof provides deployment allowances @ Rs. 25 p.m. for Assistant Gr. II for working in the higher grade of A.G.I. Thus it is crystal clear that those workmen who have shouldered the responsibility of higher grade by virtue of being deployed to that post they are entitled to the deployment allowances

8. Question arises which of the applicants did work. In this regard the position of Shri T. U. Kamble is almost admitted and it is also proved from Ex. W/1 office order dated 3-5-1983 whereby Shri T. U. Kamble was asked to work in place of Shri M. F. Nagarkar, A.G.I(D) from the date of taking over the charge and Ex. W/2 dated 13-10-1984 whereby he was ordered to be relieved by Shri T. I. Ashnani. Thus his case is proved.

9. Next is the case of Shri S. M. Padalkar, Ex. W/3 is the order dated 6-10-1983 regarding him. He was ordered to replace Shri A. N. Patil Gr. I (Technical). Ex. W/4 dated 18-12-1984 is the transfer order of Shri A. N. Patil to relieve Shri S. M. Padalkar. These two documents prove that Shri Padalkar was deployed to do the work of Gr. I (Technical) from 6-10-1983 to 22-12-1984. Thus his claim is also proved.

10. In the case of S/Shri R. V. Pande and H. D. Deshmukh T.A.G II management denied that they were deployed to do the work of higher grade. In order to prove their case only Shri R. V. Pande (W.W.1) has been examined. His statement shows that he himself does not know what is his claim. He speaks about October 1981 and about the year 1985 also. Regarding the relevant period from 12-10-1983 to date he has stated that on 21-9-1982 he was transferred to new godown Unit No. 1 where there was no Gr. I so he was doing the work of Gr. I till 11-1-1985 but no order in writing has been produced or proved. Simply because he was posted to place where there was no one of the next higher grade it does not entitle him to the deployment allowance. The deployment allowance is only admissible when actually made to do the work of higher grade and not because there was no one of the higher grade.

11. As far Shri H. D. Deshmukh there is not an iota of evidence to show that he was deployed to do the job of higher grade with effect from 5-1-1982 to 3-10-1984.

12. For the reasons discussed above I find that the claim of S/Shri R. V. Pande and H. D. Deshmukh, T.A.G II fails and they are not entitled to any relief.

13 However, in view of my findings above I answer the reference as follows :—

That the action of the management of Food Corporation of India, Nagpur, in denying the claim of deployment allowance to Gr. II Assistants (Depot and Technical) which Shri T. U. Kamble and Shri S. M. Padalkar were performing the duties of higher Gr. I for the period mentioned in this award is not justified. Therefore they are entitled to deployment allowances admissible as per rule for the following period:—

1. Shri T. U. Kamble—From 4-5-1983 to 31-10-1984.  
2. Shri S. M. Padalkar—From 6-10-1983 to 22-12-1984 But the action regarding S/Shri R. V. Pande and H. D. Deshmukh is justified and they are not entitled to any relief Management will further pay costs of Rs. 50 each to S/Shri Kamble and Padalkar. No order as to costs regarding S/Shri Pande and Deshmukh.

V. S. YADAV, Presiding Officer.

[No. L-42012(8)]85-D.V]

कां०आ० 1852. —औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार एम०पी० स्टेट माइनिंग कॉर्पोरेशन, डूमरपारा डोलोमाइट माइन्स, बड़ौदा के प्रबंधन से सम्बद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच अनुबंध में निविष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, जबलपुर (म०प्र०) के पंचाट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 10-4-86 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

S.O. 1852.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Jabalpur (MP) as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of M.P. State Mining Corporation, Dumarpara Dolomite Mines, Baradwar and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 10th April, 1986.

#### ANNEXURE

BEFORE SHRI V. S. YADAV, PRESIDING OFFICER,  
CENTRAL GOVT. INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM-  
LABOUR COURT, JABALPUR (M.P.)

Case No. CGIT/LC(R)(2)/1982

#### PARTIES :

Employers in relation to the management of M.P. State Mining Corporation Dumarpara Dolomite Mines, Baradwar and their workman, Shri Surender Singh, Mining Mate Helper, represented through the Samyukta Khadan Mazdoor Sangh, P.O. Baradwar, Distt. Bilaspur (MP).

#### APPEARANCES :

For workman—Shri Moniram Yadav.

For management—Shri R. P. Mishra.

INDUSTRY : Mining Corporation DISTRICT : Bilaspur (M.P.)

#### AWARD

Dated the 7th April, 1986

Ministry of Labour in exercise of the powers conferred under Section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) referred the following dispute vide Notification No. L-29012/6/81-D.III(B) dated 5th June, 1982, for adjudication:—

"Whether the action of the management of Messrs M. P. State Mining Corporation, Dumarpara Dolomite Mines, Baradwar in terminating the services of

Shri Surender Singh, Mining Mate Helper with effect from 10th August 1981 is justified? If not, to what relief is the concerned worker entitled?

2. On receipt of the reference order parties were noticed to file their statements of claims, rejoinders and documents. After the filing of the pleadings and documents by the parties my learned predecessor framed issues for determination of the dispute.

3. The management has contended that the workman concerned, Shri Surender Singh was entrusted with the work of controlling measurement of Store in the wooden boxes. He was also required to maintain a proper record of box measurement and submit his report for billing purposes. On verification of stock on crusher side and its physical measurement, a shortage of 557.53 tonnes in the stock was reported. He did not keep a proper record and he amended the original entry with vested interest. He also booked measurement in the names of workers on dates when they were absent from work on those dates. On the basis, he was issued a charge-sheet on 25-11-1978. He submitted a reply denying the allegation. Thereafter a proper departmental enquiry was conducted against the workman. He was given full opportunity to defend himself, cross-examine the prosecution witnesses and examine defence witnesses. He never complained against the enquiry and was fully satisfied during the enquiry. He was found guilty of the allegation made against and therefore the management thought it fit to terminate his services because of the proved misconduct.

4. The workman denied all the allegations made in the statement of claim of the management and pleaded he was not served with specific charge and allegations, he was not given full opportunity in the so called enquiry; Enquiry Officer was biased and he had the personal knowledge of the case, principles of natural justice were violated by not affording reasonable opportunity to him and therefore the termination of his services is illegal, improper, unfair labour practice. He therefore be reinstated with all attendant benefits.

5. On these pleadings of the parties my learned predecessor framed the following issues :—

#### ISSUES

1. Whether the management held a proper and legal enquiry against the workman?
2. Whether the findings of the Enquiry Officer were justified on facts as well as in law?
3. Whether the management was justified in awarding the punishment of dismissal from service to the workman?
4. To what relief are the parties entitled to?

6. When the case was at the stage of evidence and arguments parties stated that the matter is under negotiation, for which they were given time to file settlement. Ultimately on 17-3-1985 parties submitted an application praying for an award as they have settled the dispute. A copy of the settlement signed by Shri Maniram Yadav, Secretary, S.M.S. Baraduar and Shri C. K. Chaurasia, Prabandh Sanchalok, M.P. State Mining Corporation has been filed.

7. I have gone through the settlement arrived at between the parties the terms of which are as under :—

1. That the Corporation will reinstate Shri Surender Singh with continuity of his past service.
2. That Shri Surender Singh will be fixed in the pay scale on the basis of his seniority.
3. That Shri Surender Singh will not get the wages with effect from the date of termination to the date of joining the service. The aforesaid period will be treated as leave without pay.
4. That the Corporation has agreed to pay Rs. 2,000 to Shri Surender Singh as exgratia payment.

The above terms of settlement appear to be reason able, fair and in the interest of the workman. I therefore give my award in accordance with the above terms of settlement. Parties will bear their own costs.

[No. L-29012(6)/81-D.III (B)]

V. S. YADAV, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 25 अप्रैल, 1986

का.प्र.० 18/3-औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार ने कॅरिजज फैक्टरी, जबलपुर के प्रबंधक से सम्बद्ध विवादों और उनके कर्मचारियों के बीच अनुबन्ध में निविष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, जबलपुर (एम.पी.) के पंचाट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो कॅरिजज सरकार को 9-4-86 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

New Delhi, the 25th April, 1986

S.O. 1853.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Jabalpur (MP) as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Gun Carriage Factory, Jabalpur (MP) and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 9th April, 1986.

#### ANNEXURE

BEFORE SHRI V. S. YADAV, PRESIDING OFFICER,  
CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL—  
COMM-LABOUR COURT JABALPUR (M.P.)

Case No. CGIT/LC(R)(68)/1984

#### PARTIES :

Employers in relation to the management of Gun Carriage Factory, Jabalpur and their workman, Shri Satwant Singh Purba, Supervisor 'B' C/o Shri S. Satwant Singh, Near Post Office Bilahari, Mandla Road, Jabalpur (M.P.)

#### APPEARANCES :

For workman—Shri Rajendra Menon, Advocate.

For management—Shri A. K. Chaube, Advocate.

INDUSTRY : Gun Factory DISTRICT : Jabalpur (M.P.)

#### AWARD

Dated, March 25, 1986

This is a reference made by the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour in exercise of its powers conferred under Section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) for adjudication of the following dispute, vide Notification No. J-14012(7)/83-D.II (B) dated 5th September, 1984 :—

"Whether the action of the management of Gun Carriage Factory Jabalpur in removing Shri Satwant Singh Purba, Supervisor 'B' from service with effect from 9-9-1980 is justified? If not, to what relief is the workman concerned entitled?"

2. Non-controversial facts of the case are that the workman was appointed as 'A' Grade Fitter in the Gun Carriage Factory on 2-1-1968 and was promoted as Supervisor Grade 'B' on 1-8-1974. The workman was given a charge-sheet on 26-3-1979 alleging admitted theft of Government property. After the enquiry his services terminated vide order dated 9-9-1980 by the General Manager. He preferred an appeal to the Chairman which was also dismissed on 22-1-1981.

3. The case of the management is that the workman, Shri Satwant Singh Purba, was once warned for neglect of duty during the course of service. On 14-3-1979 the applicant was caught red-handed while mustering out and was found in an unauthorised possession of two Brass Pieces weighing about 3.500 Kgm. the property of the management. The Orderly Officer recorded the statement of witnesses and

the workman and noted that initially the workman for pardon stating that this was his first offence and he was reluctant to give statement.

4. The domestic enquiry was conducted under the procedure prescribed and afforded adequate opportunity for defence in accordance with natural justice. The charge was found proved, hence penalty.

5. The case of the workman is that after finishing the work in time he was to check wells and pumps at the main office building. For this purpose he had kept his cycle with a basket outside under a Neem Tree. When he finished his work Durban, Babu Lal, came and took him to Security Gate Keeper on duty. He was interrogated and it was alleged that his basket contains some doubtful material. Security personnel took his forcible statement and signatures inspite of protest. The enquiry held against him is vitiated on various grounds narrated in the statement of claim.

6. I framed the following preliminary Issue No. 1 which with my reasons and findings are as under :—

#### Preliminary Issue

1. Whether the domestic enquiry is legal and proper ? Findings with reasons :

7. In the course of arguments only four grounds are urged before me. The first ground is that the charge contained only three witnesses but the Presenting Officer was allowed to examine more witnesses to fill up the lacuna in the case. The record of enquiry which is not challenged before me shows that an application was filed by the Presenting Officer on 6-11-1979 (Ex. M/6) stating that Shri Manhoor, Security Supervisor, was present on the same day therefore he wishes to examine him as a witness. The order-sheet dated 11-11-1979 (Ex. M/7) goes to show that the defence was given an opportunity of about five days and the application was taken up on 11-11-1979. Both the parties were heard, at length and the Presenting Officer also informed that he may examine one Dilip Singh and recall Rajgopalan as well. On this point of examining Dilip Singh and re-examining Rajgopalan parties were heard at length as the order sheet discloses. It was after hearing both the parties at length that the prayer was allowed. Thus it cannot be said that any prejudice has been caused to the defence. The presence of these witnesses at the time of incident stands unchallenged.

8. Next ground urged before me is that the findings of the Enquiry Officer is perverse. He has not marshalled the prosecution evidence but simply distrusted the defence. It is true that there are some minor discrepancies in the statement of prosecution but the same are on minor point and not sufficient to discredit the testimony. In his enquiry report, Ex. M/11 learned Enquiry Officer has discussed the prosecution evidence keeping in view the safe rule of findings of corroboration from other evidence. He also got the stolen property weighed in presence of parties and stated that the Brass rods found in the pocket generally confirmed to the description mentioned in the memorandum. He has also discussed the defence evidence and gave his reasons for rejecting the testimony. I thus do not find any perversity in his finding.

9. The third ground urged that there is no evidence that the property seized from the possession of the delinquent official was government property. It has come out in the evidence that the material was sealed at the time of seizure and signed by the witnesses. The seal was open in the presence of the Enquiry Officer and the same were weighed. The actual weight of the rods tallied. It is the case of the workman that he was coming out after performing his duty and it is not his case that it was his personal property. Once possession is proved burden was on the delinquent officer to explain his possession. In the circumstances unless official claims the property of his own there is hardly any justification in the contention raised regarding ownership of the property. I thus find that on this ground also the enquiry is not vitiated.

10. Last ground that has been urged before me is that the Enquiry Officer acted as a Prosecutor and elaborately cross-examined the prosecution and defence witnesses. These contentions are not wholly justified. The main witness, Babu Lal, was examined on 29-8-1981 vide Ex. M/3. He was examined by the Presenting Officer and cross-examined by the Assisting Officer. Thereafter next witness, R. B. Nilatkar

was examined (Ex. M/4) by the Presenting Officer. The Enquiry Officer asked him about 14 questions and thereafter he was cross-examined by the Assisting Officer. Putting a few questions by the Enquiry Officer or the Court is nowhere prohibited. After the questions of the Enquiry Officer the Assisting Officer was allowed to cross-examine the witness. Thus no prejudice was caused. Same is the position with respect to witnesses S. Rajagopalan (Ex. M/5), Y. R. Mahanoor (Ex. M/8), Dilip Singh (Ex. M/9) and defence witnesses Kishan Lal (Ex. M/10), Dasrath Yadav (Ex. M/11) and S. S. Patwa (Ex. M/12). As already pointed out simply because the Enquiry Officer put a few questions to the witnesses it cannot be said that he acted as a Prosecutor.

11. Order-sheet dated 23rd August 1979 (Ex. M/2) goes to show that he was furnished the documents which were demanded by him. He was also given reasonable opportunity to examine his defence witnesses. He was also allowed the help of Assisting Officer. Nothing material is shown to me which may be said to be against natural justice or biased attitude of the Enquiry Officer. In the circumstances, I find that the domestic enquiry is legal and proper and it is not in any way vitiated. I therefore hold that the action of the management of Gun Carriage Factory Jabalpur in removing Shri Satwant Singh Purba, Supervisor 'B' with effect from 9-9-1980 is justified. Looking to the nature of misconduct punishment awarded to the applicant cannot said to be excessive. He is, therefore, not entitled to any relief. No order as to costs.

[No. L-14012(7)/83-D.II (B)]

V. S. YADAV, Presiding Officer

कां० १८५४-औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, १९४७ (१९४७ का १४) की धारा १७ के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार सहा प्रबंधक, पश्चिमी रेलवे रतलाम के प्रबंधन से सम्बद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच अनुबन्ध में निदिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, जबलपुर के पंचाट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को ९-४-८६ को प्राप्त हुआ था।

S.O. 1854.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Jabalpur (MP), as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of General Manager, Western Railway, Chandigarh/Divisional Railway Manager, Western Railway, Ratlam and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 9th April, 1986.

#### ANNEXURE

BEFORE SHRI V. S. YADAV, PRESIDING OFFICER,  
CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-  
CUM-LABOUR COURT, JABALPUR (M.P.)

Case No. CGIT/LC(R)(I)/1985

#### PARTIES :

Employers in relation to the management of General Manager, Western Railway, Churchgate/D.R.M. Railway Ratlam and their workmen, Shri Ghisoolal Pal, represented by Shri S. D. Agashe Secretary, Paschim Railway Karamchhari Parishad, 229-C, Rajendra Nagar, Indore (M.P.)

#### APPEARANCES :

For workman—Shri S. D. Agashe.

For management—Shri G. L. Gupta, Advocate.

INDUSTRY : Railways

DISTRICT : Ratlam (M.P.)

#### AWARD

Dated, March 24, 1986

In exercise of powers conferred by clause (d) of Sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) Central Government in the Ministry of



Labour has referred the following dispute, for adjudication, vide Notification No. L-41011(3)/82-D.II (B) dated 26.11.1984 :—

"Whether the action of the Divisional Railway Manager, Ratlam Division in reverting Shri Ghisoolal Pal from the Post of Ticket Collector to the post of Coach Attendant vide their order No. E/1/839,4.1 Vol. V/E/T/1021/47/Vol. IV dated 1-4-1976 is justified? If not, to what relief the workman is entitled?"

2. Facts leading to the present reference are that Shri Ghisoolal Pal was working as Coach Attendant in Ratlam Division, Western Railway. He was selected on ad hoc basis and taken on panel as Ticket Collector under the promotion policy from Class IV to Class III service vide order dated 19-12-1974 (Ex. W/1). He was sent to Zonal Training School Udaipur for training as T. C. vide order dated 12/74 (Ex. W/2). After successful training he was posted as officiating Ticket Collector vide order dated 31-3-1975 (Ex. W/3) and he worked as such from 12-4-75 to 6-7-1976. However, the panel declared order dated 19-12-74 was treated as cancelled vide order dated 28-11-1975 (Ex. W/4) and a fresh panel was formed vide order dated 26-3-1976 (Ex. M/3) when the workman was reverted back as Coach Attendant vide order dated 15-10-1984 (Ex. M/6). However, he applied for popular category for which he was found fit and he was selected for the post of Assistant Goods Clerk vide order dated 3-8-1977 (Ex. M/4) but he did not join. Thereafter he again applied for selection held in 1979 and he was selected for the post of Assistant Goods Clerk vide order dated 21-7-1980 (Ex. M/5) but he again did not join. Finally the workman applied for the selection held in the year 1983 and he was selected for the post of Ticket Collector vide order dated 15-10-1984 (Ex. M/6) and he joined on the said post on 13-4-1985. The above facts are also not disputed before me as is also apparent from the statement of workman (WW-1) and Bashermal, Head Clerk (MW-1). The workman moved the Assistant Labour Commissioner but the conciliation efforts failed hence this reference.

3. The case of the applicant further is that he was reverted back without assigning any reason and in his place an unauthorised posting of Switchman and Cabinman was done as Ticket Collector in contravention of Rule 110 of Establishment Manual page 118/120. The workman moved his case to the higher authorities but without success.

4. The case of the management further is that the workman himself admitted that his posting as a Ticket Collector was on officiating basis which was subject to the position in final panel and do not create any right for regularisation. The workman had not secured qualifying marks in the written test therefore his name was deleted from the panel specially mentioning that he had to appear for the written test afresh. As per the channel of promotion enforced at that time Cabinman and Switchman were eligible for selection to the post of Ticket Collector etc. Para 110 of the Establishment Manual does not indicate that the Switchman and Cabinman are not eligible for promotion to the Ticket Collector's posts. The workman was offered the post for which he was selected but he wilfully declined to join. As such the workman is not entitled to relief regarding his seniority and ancillary benefits.

5. I have gone through the documentary and oral evidence adduced by the parties and I have heard them. The office order dated 19-12-1974 (Ex. W/1) which is itself relief on by the workman goes to show that the selection was held on 4-11-1974 for provisional panel. It was on the basis

of this order that office order dated 1-4-1974 (Ex. M/2—Ex. W/2) was issued and they were ordered to attend practical training.

6. It is contended on behalf of the workman that he was reverted back without assigning any reason. The order may not have mentioned their reason, but there was a valid reason as has been stated by Bashermal and has been mentioned in the letter dated 30-9-1980 to the M.L.A. (Ex. W/7). It says "PANEL PER SE HATANE KA KARAN YEH HAI KI ENHONE PRAVARAN KE LIYE NIYAMANUSAR LIKHIT PARIKSHA MEN 60% SE KAM ANK PRAPT KIYE THE." The reversion order itself clearly stated that the above mentioned persons will have to appear in the written test afresh till then they may be allowed to continue on their present posts. It appears that the workman Ghisoolal Pal failed to qualify in the test and he was not placed on the selection panel (Ex. W/5 dated 1-4-76). As such he was reverted back.

7. The contention of the workman is that once he was selected provisionally he could not have been reverted back or asked to reappear in the test afresh. To my mind this contention is without any substance. The law on the point of ad hoc or provisional appointments is laid down in the case of S. P. Vasudeo Vs. State of Haryana (AIR 1975 SC 2292) as under :—

"Where an order of reversion of a person who had no right to the post, does not show ex facie that he was being reverted as a measure of punishment or does not cast any stigma on him, the Courts will not normally go behind that order to see if there were any motivating factors behind that order.

The Supreme Court observed that while the whole position in law was confusing it is time that the whole question was considered de novo and it would be better for all concerned and avoid a lot of avoidable litigation if it should be held that the reversion of a probationer from a higher to a lower post, or the discharge from service of a temporary servant cannot be questioned except on the basis of malafide in the making of the order."

Reversion order of the workman does not at all show that he was reverted as a measure of punishment. Simply because some other persons viz. Switchman and Cabinman were promoted since they were found fit to replace the workman it does not mean that the act of management was malafide. In fact, while promoting the workman on ad hoc basis two persons namely Shri F. D. Qureshi and Vikram Singh who were working as Ticket Collectors purely on ad hoc basis were reverted (Ex. W/3). In fact, the workman was offered promotion twice as Assistant Goods Clerk, but he declined to join. In view of this fact it cannot be said that the present workman was discriminated or there was malafide on behalf of the management.

8. For the reasons discussed above, I find that the action of the Divisional Railway Manager, Ratlam Division in reverting Shri Ghisoolal Pal from the post of Ticket Collector to the post of Coach Attendant vide order dated 1-4-1976 is justified. He is not entitled to any relief. No order as to costs.

V. S. YADAV, Presiding Officer

[No. L-41011(3)/82-D.II (B)]

HARI SINGH, Desk Officer